

Soteria Insurance Limited

Solvency and financial condition report 2025

Contents

Executive Summary	3
Directors' Report	10
Statement of Directors' Responsibilities	10
A. Business and Performance	11
A.1 Business	11
A.2 Underwriting Performance	12
A.3 Investment Performance	13
A.4 Performance of Other Activities	14
A.5 Any Other Information	14
B. System of Governance	15
B.1 General Information on the System of Governance	15
B.2 'Fit and Proper' Requirements	19
B.3 Risk Management System Including the Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA)	20
B.4 Internal Control System	23
B.5 Internal Audit Function	24
B.6 Actuarial Function	26
B.7 Outsourcing	26
B.8 Any other information	27
C. Risk Profile	28
C.1 Insurance Risk	28
C.2 Market Risk	29
C.3 Credit Risk	30
C.4 Liquidity Risk	31
C.5 Operational Risk	32
C.6 Other Material Risks	32
C.7 Any Other Information	33
D. Valuation for Solvency Purposes	35
D.1 Assets	35
D.2 Technical Provisions	38
D.3 Other Liabilities	41
D.4 Alternative Methods for Valuation	42
D.5 Any Other Information	43
E. Capital Management	44
E.1 Own Funds	44
E.2 Solvency Capital Requirement and Minimum Capital Requirement	46
E.3 Use of the Duration-based Equity Risk Sub-module in the Calculation of the SCR	48
E.4 Differences Between the Standard Formula and any Internal Model Used	48
E.5 Non-compliance with the MCR and Non-compliance with the SCR	48
E.6 Any Other Information	48

Executive Summary

1. Introduction and Purpose

The Directors are pleased to present the Solvency & Financial Condition Report ('SFCR') for the year ended 31 December 2025.

The Report contains detailed qualitative and quantitative information on the Business and Performance, System of Governance, Risk Profile, Valuation for Solvency Purposes and Capital Management, together with standardised Quantitative Reporting Templates with respect to the reporting period for Soteria Insurance Limited ('Soteria').

Soteria is a UK-based General Insurer that underwrote insurance, predominantly in personal lines (Motor and Home). It is a wholly owned subsidiary of Saturn Holdings plc ('Saturn'), which also owns 100% of the share capital of Tradex Insurance Company plc ('Tradex').

This Executive Summary provides an overview to assist policyholders and other stakeholders in understanding the nature of the business, how the business is managed and Soteria's solvency position.

Soteria is regulated and managed under the Solvency II prudential regime, as implemented through UK legislation and the Prudential Regulation Authority's ('PRA') Rulebook and policy materials and referred to as 'Solvency II' throughout this document.

Amounts are presented in thousands of pounds, sterling (£000), unless otherwise stated.

The document makes reference to the Annual Report and Accounts, which also provides relevant information about Soteria, copies of which can be found at www.soteriainsurance.co.uk.

2. Business Performance (Summary of Section A)

2.1 Business Model & Strategy

On 11 February 2021, the Board made the decision to place the Company into run-off and subsequently made an application to the PRA for Soteria's regulatory permissions to effect new insurance contracts to be cancelled. This application was approved on 29 March 2021 and Soteria's last insurance policies expired in March 2022.

The principal activity of the Company since entering run-off has been the administration of existing policies in force and the settlement of outstanding claims. The focus of the Directors is to meet all obligations to customers throughout a solvent run-off, to fulfil all regulatory requirements and, to the extent the Company has surplus capital above a prudent risk appetite to meet its liabilities and regulatory requirements, it aims to distribute this capital to its parent company.

2.2 Other Significant Events

Loss portfolio transfer ('LPT')

During the year, Soteria entered into an LPT arrangement with Darag to cover its obligations relating to liability claims, transferring liabilities of £23.6m on a UK GAAP basis. The net impact on inception of the arrangement was a profit of £5.7m. The LPT arrangement is managed on a funds withheld basis.

Other matters

On 20 December 2025, the Company's parent company, Saturn, signed an agreement to acquire MISL, subject to regulatory approval. MISL is a Managing General Agent which also provides insurance services and has acted as an outsourced service provider to Soteria since December 2020. The acquisition of MISL by Saturn will bring the currently outsourced operations under the control of Saturn and support the delivery of the business strategy of the Saturn Group.

Events after the balance sheet date

Since the end of 2025, geopolitical instability has increased, particularly in the Middle East and, whilst Tradex only operates in the UK, this can have an impact on supply chains and investment markets. The Company's management is closely monitoring the situation for any indirect exposure or other impact. At the current date there are no significant changes to the Company's principal risks and uncertainties or its solvency coverage.

2.3 Performance

Lines of Business and Geographical Areas

Lines of business which are material to the performance of Soteria are defined in the table below:

Line of Business	Definition
Motor vehicle liability insurance (Motor)	Insurance obligations which cover all liabilities arising out of the use of motor vehicles operating on land. Obligations are predominantly those relating to third parties involved in incidents with policyholders.
Other motor insurance (Other Motor)	Insurance obligations which cover all damage to or loss of land vehicles, predominantly those vehicles owned by policyholders.
Fire and other damage to property insurance (Home)	Insurance obligations which cover all damage to or loss of property due to fire, explosion, natural forces including storm, hail or frost, nuclear energy, land subsidence and any event such as theft.

All business is conducted in the UK, Isle of Man and the Channel Islands.

Overall Performance

The table below shows the performance of Soteria, as disclosed in its UK GAAP statutory accounts, over the year to 31 December 2025.

	2025 £000	2024 £000
Net earned premiums	(17,464)	(468)
Net policyholder claims and benefits	24,583	3,118
Fee and commission income	600	1,485
Fee and commission expenses	1	(23)
Acquisition and administrative expenses	(4,880)	(4,482)
Underwriting result	2,840	(370)
Net investment income	10,204	8,449
Investment expenses and charges	(2,337)	(2,432)
Other non-technical income	87	106
Profit on ordinary activities before tax	10,794	5,753

The Company generated a profit before tax in the year, primarily as a result of positive investment returns together with a release of reserves in respect of prior years' claims.


A more detailed analysis of the performance, including comparatives against the prior year, can be found in Section A of this report and the Company's Annual Report and Accounts which is available at www.soteriainsurance.co.uk.

3. System of Governance (Summary of Section B)

The holding company has its own Board and sub-committees. The governance structure of Soteria has remained largely unchanged since 2020 despite the decision to place Soteria into run-off.

3.1 Governance Framework

Soteria has a strong governance framework and has ensured that the accountability and responsibility of individual Senior Managers and Directors is clearly defined and documented. This enables the Board, Executive and associated committees to interact effectively to support delivery of the agreed strategy and the ability to manage and mitigate the risks faced by the business.



The Soteria Board owns and approves the Risk Appetite Statements and the Risk Management Framework, setting the thresholds and approach to risk taking activities. To ensure that there are effective internal controls and risk management, the Soteria Board has established sub-committees and delegated certain responsibilities to them. All Board sub-committees have Terms of Reference which document the membership, their accountabilities and describe the authority delegated to them by the Board. The Board ensures that each committee is provided with sufficient resources to enable it to undertake its duties.

3.2 Key elements of the System of Governance

Appropriate Responsibility and Accountability

Soteria operates a 'three lines of defence' governance model to ensure appropriate responsibility and accountability is allocated to the identification, measurement, management, monitoring and reporting of risks.

Business management is the 1st line of defence. It is responsible for implementing and operating processes to identify, measure, manage, monitor and report risks. As Soteria is a largely an outsourced model, much of the 1st line work is carried out by third parties

with oversight by Soteria. Oversight activities are not necessarily carried out by the Risk team and can be carried out by anyone working in or for Soteria, as long as they are independent from whomever carried out the work. The Risk function owns the Risk Management Framework, oversees and challenges its implementation and operation by the 1st line of defence, and considers current and emerging risks across the business.

Internal Audit is the 3rd line of defence within the Company structure. Internal Audit independently challenges the overall design and operation of the Risk Management Framework and provides assurance to the Soteria Board Audit Committee (BAC) and senior management on the adequacy of both the 1st and 2nd lines of defence, including the quality of their work.

Fitness & Propriety of Key Function Holders

As a regulated company, all accountabilities within Soteria are allocated as part of The Senior Manager and Certification Regime (SM&CR).

The Soteria Management Responsibilities Map describes and documents the firm's overall governance arrangements. It demonstrates that there are no gaps in the allocation of responsibilities amongst its management.

Senior Manager Functions are roles the regulators deem 'critical' within a firm. They are occupied by individuals who have significant influence over the firm's business strategy, culture and compliance with regulatory requirements. Individuals who are appointed to perform a Senior Manager function must be approved by the FCA and/or PRA.

Key Functions, as defined by Solvency II regulation, are those functions which, if not properly managed and overseen, could potentially lead to significant losses being incurred or to a failure in the on-going ability of the firm to meet its policyholder obligations. The firm's system of governance has identified those persons who are responsible for the Key Functions, known as Key Function Holders (KFHs), along with their lines of accountability.

The Company has established fit and proper processes which comply with the SM&CR. Certified Employees (the next tier of management below Senior Managers where the role has a risk of significant harm to the firm or any of its customers) have been identified, to which the requirements will also apply.

The Company will ensure that Senior Managers and Certified Employees are at all times fit and proper persons. This means that these persons have adequate professional qualifications, knowledge and experience to enable the sound and prudent management of the firm and that they are of good repute and integrity.

Robust Risk Management Framework

Soteria operates within a Risk Management Framework that identifies processes, ownership, responsibilities and the oversight required to support effective implementation of Risk Management across the Company.

The Risk Management Framework is designed to aid the business in the management of risks at all levels in the business in accordance with the 'Three Lines of Defence' model.

4. Key Risks (Summary of Section C)

Soteria's ongoing management of the run-off of claims exposed the Company to a number of risks which could adversely affect its performance and its ability to meet its objectives. These risks include:

Risk	Definition
Insurance risk	The risk of loss, or of adverse change in the value of insurance liabilities, resulting from fluctuations in the timing, frequency and severity of insured events for earned policies, and in the timing and amount of claim settlements ¹ .
Operational risk	The risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or external events.
Conflicts of interest risk	Conflicts of Interest Risk is the risk that financial or other personal interests, relationships or obligations influence, or may be perceived to influence, a person's ability to act in the best interests of Soteria, its customers, or other stakeholders.
Market Risk, including climate change risk	The risk of loss or of adverse change in the financial situation resulting, directly or indirectly, from fluctuations in the level and in the volatility of market risk drivers including interest rates, market prices of assets and liabilities. Included within market risk is climate change risk; the risks that arise from the adjustment to a low-carbon economy which could impact a firm's assets, in particular the value of investments ² .
Counterparty risk, including credit risk	The risk to earnings and capital arising from a debtor's failure to meet their legal and contractual obligations.
Liquidity risk	The current and prospective risk to earnings or solvency arising from the Company's inability to meet its obligations when they come due without incurring unacceptable losses. Liquidity risk is explicitly excluded from the Standard Formula Solvency Capital Requirement.
Conduct and regulatory risk	The risk that Soteria's processes, behaviours, offerings or interactions will result in unfair outcomes or foreseeable harm for customers, or lead to regulatory sanctions, financial loss, and reputational damage due to a failure to comply with applicable laws, industry standards, and internal codes of conduct.

The most material risks that the Company is exposed to are reserve risk and market risk.

Risks are captured within the Standard Formula calculation of the Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR). The table below shows the value of capital held by Soteria for each risk.

	31 December 2025	31 December 2024	Movement
	£000	£000	£000
Insurance risk – non life	14,466	21,915	(7,449)
Insurance risk – life	1,712	1,746	(34)
Operational risk	3,735	4,021	(286)
Market risk	11,633	10,928	705
Counterparty risk	6,094	6,270	(176)
Diversification	(9,256)	(10,062)	806
SCR	28,384	34,818	(6,434)

Insurance risk is managed by thorough claims reserving and will continue to reduce as the business runs off and claims are paid. Insurance risk is mitigated through the use of appropriate reinsurance.

¹ Risk is relative to technical provisions on a SII basis or best estimate reserves on an UK GAAP basis.

² Climate change can also impact other level 1 risks, however, given the long-term nature of climate change, the assets backing long-dated PPO liabilities are the biggest area of concern for Soteria.

The Company had two main reinsurance programmes in place: catastrophe excess of loss cover and motor excess of loss cover. For the years 2017-2020, a quota share arrangement was in place which covered both Motor and Home business.

Market risk reflects the portfolio of assets held by the Company which focuses on higher rated corporate bonds, gilts and cash and also includes investments in higher-yielding assets. Market risk (credit-spread and counterparty default risks) from corporate bonds is managed through defined limits for exposure to credit ratings and individual counterparties.

Operational risks are identified, measured, managed and mitigated through on-going risk management practices including risk assessments, formal control procedures and contingency planning, and mitigated through corporate insurances.

The value calculated for each individual risk is the estimated loss that would be incurred in an adverse scenario for that specific risk, as per the Standard Formula SCR calculation. As not all of these negative outcomes would be expected to occur within a short time frame, the Standard Formula SCR calculation allows for a diversification benefit which is an estimate of the total reduction in the overall level of risk. The impact of changes in the risk profile of the Company on capital management is explained in Section 6 of this Summary.

5. Valuation for Solvency Purposes (Summary of Section D)

The valuation for solvency purposes is based on an economic value approach under Solvency II. The principle that underlies this valuation basis is that assets, technical provisions and other liabilities are valued at amounts for which they could be exchanged between knowledgeable, willing parties in an arm's length transaction.

The Solvency II valuation approach, together with the prescribed balance sheet presentation, differ from that used in the preparation of the Company's UK GAAP statutory financial statements. The following table presents a summary balance sheet as at 31 December 2025 on both bases, with a bridge between them to show the key movements. Section D includes full explanations of the valuation and recognition basis under Solvency II, along with a description of how this differs to the approach used in the statutory accounts.

	UK GAAP £000	Reclassifications £000	Restatements £000	Solvency II £000
Assets				
Investments	116,236	1,089	-	117,325
Mortgages and loans made	20,638	727	-	21,365
Reinsurance recoverables	90,392	(17,521)	(12,207)	60,664
Insurance and intermediaries receivables	1,835	(1,835)	-	-
Receivables (trade, not insurance)	10,288	(5)	102	10,385
Reinsurance receivables	-	679	-	679
Cash and cash equivalents	67,944	-	-	67,944
Any other assets, not elsewhere shown	1,814	(1,814)	-	-
Total assets	309,147	(18,680)	(12,105)	278,362
Liabilities				
Total technical provisions/ UK GAAP insurer contract liabilities	190,346	(1,338)	(8,866)	180,142
Derivative liabilities	-	2	-	2
Insurance and intermediaries payables	678	1,144	-	1,822
Reinsurance payables	18,348	(18,336)	-	12
Payables (trade, not insurance)	439	(187)	-	252
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	2,858	35	(10)	2,883
Total liabilities	212,669	(18,680)	(8,876)	185,113
Excess of assets over liabilities	96,478	-	(3,229)	93,249

The excess of assets over liabilities of £93,249k forms the basis for Own Funds for Soteria under Solvency II, though this is reduced by £30,000k, being the amount of the foreseeable dividend, to give the amount of available capital held to meet the Solvency Capital Requirement.

6. Capital Management (Summary of Section E)

At 31 December 2025, the key Solvency II capital measures were:

	31 December 2025	31 December 2024	Movement
	£000	£000	£000
Eligible Own Funds before Volatility Adjustment	61,263	77,084	(15,821)
Effect of Volatility Adjustment	1,986	2,065	(79)
Eligible Own Funds	<u>63,249</u>	<u>79,149</u>	<u>(15,900)</u>
SCR before Volatility Adjustment	28,527	35,034	(6,507)
Effect of Volatility Adjustment	(143)	(216)	359
SCR	<u>28,384</u>	<u>34,818</u>	<u>(6,434)</u>
Solvency Coverage	<u>34,865</u>	<u>44,331</u>	<u>(9,466)</u>
Solvency Coverage Ratio before Volatility Adjustment	215%	220%	(5)%
Solvency Coverage Ratio	<u>223%</u>	<u>227%</u>	<u>(4)%</u>

Solvency coverage at 31 December 2025 is £34,866k (223%) (2024: £44,331k (227%)). Own Funds at 31 December 2025 are £63,249k (2024: £79,149k).

As described in Section 4, the SCR at 31 December 2025 is £28,384k.

The Company applies a Volatility Adjustment in calculating solvency coverage which has improved solvency coverage by 8%. The Volatility Adjustment is designed to protect insurers with long-term liabilities from the impact of economic volatility on the insurers' solvency position. The Company does not apply the matching adjustment or the transitional measures on technical provisions or risk-free interest rates.

The table below shows the value of Own Funds eligible to meet the SCR at 31 December 2025. All Own Funds in both years are tier 1 unrestricted.

	2025	2024	Movement
	£000	£000	£000
Ordinary share capital	38,000	38,000	-
Reconciliation reserve	25,249	41,149	(15,900)
Total basic own funds after deductions	<u>63,249</u>	<u>79,149</u>	<u>(15,900)</u>
Total available own funds to meet the SCR	63,249	79,149	(15,900)
Total available own funds to meet the MCR	<u>63,249</u>	<u>79,149</u>	<u>(15,900)</u>
Total eligible own funds to meet the SCR	63,249	79,149	(15,900)
Total eligible own funds to meet the MCR	<u>63,249</u>	<u>79,149</u>	<u>(15,900)</u>
SCR	28,384	34,818	(6,434)
MCR	<u>9,979</u>	<u>9,024</u>	<u>955</u>

Own Funds relate to share capital, share premium and the reconciliation reserve and equate to the value of the excess of assets over liabilities in the Solvency II balance sheet. The reconciliation reserve represents the changes resulting from valuation differences between UK GAAP and Solvency II as well as retained earnings and other reserves.

Non-compliance occurs when the value of eligible own funds falls below the MCR or the SCR. As at 31 December 2025, Soteria has exceeded both the MCR and SCR with coverage of 634% (2024: 877%) and 223% (2024: 227%) respectively. Soteria has been compliant with both the MCR and the SCR throughout the reporting period.

Directors' Report

The Directors of Soteria during the financial year are listed below and all appointments were for the full period unless otherwise stated.

Non-Executive Directors:

Neil Southworth

Sharon Ludlow

Andrew Johnston

Stuart Vann (appointed 29 January 2026)

Ewen Gilmour (resigned 31 December 2025)

Executive Directors:

Mark Summerfield

Maria Leighton (resigned 25 June 2025)

Grant Mitchell (resigned 31 January 2025)

Statement of Directors' Responsibilities

The Directors are responsible for preparing the Solvency and Financial Condition Report in all material respects in accordance with the Prudential Regulation Authority Rules as applicable to the Company.

Each of the Directors confirms that, to the best of their knowledge:

- a) throughout the financial period in question, the Company has complied in all material respects with the requirements of the Prudential Regulation Authority Rules as applicable to Soteria; and
- b) it is reasonable to believe that the Company has continued to comply subsequently and will continue to comply in future.

By order of the Board:



Mark Summerfield

Director

2 April 2026

A. Business and Performance

A.1 Business

A.1.1 Legal Form, Ownership and Registered Address

Soteria is a Limited Company registered in England & Wales under the Companies Act 2006 (registered number 12698289). Soteria is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority (FRN 435022). It is a wholly owned subsidiary of Saturn Holdings plc ('Saturn'). During 2025, Saturn re-registered as a public limited company under the Companies Act 2006 and changed its name from Saturn Holdings Limited. Its registered address is McLaren House, 100 Kings Road, Brentwood, England, CM14 4EA.


Soteria is a UK-based General Insurer that underwrote insurance, predominantly in personal lines (Motor and Home).

A.1.2 Simplified Group Structure, Related Undertakings and Branches

Since 19 February 2024 Soteria has been directly owned by Saturn Holdings plc ('Saturn'). Prior to this date it was owned by Soteria Finance Holdings Limited ('SFHL'), which was also owned by Saturn. SFHL was dissolved on 16 December 2025. Saturn, along with its subsidiaries (Soteria, Tradex Insurance Company plc ('Tradex') and SFHL) together form an Insurance Group.

The consolidated SFCR for the Group is available at www.soteriansurance.co.uk.

The group structure at 31 December 2025 is shown below:



A.1.3 Employees

The Company employs a small number of colleagues to oversee the key functions of the business, with additional services provided by Markerstudy Insurance Services Limited (MISL).

A.1.4 Name and Contact Details of External Auditors

The Company's auditors are Ernst & Young LLP (registered no. OC300001), whose registered office is 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF.

A.1.5 Name and Contact Details of Supervisory Authority and Regulator

Soteria is authorised and regulated by the Prudential Regulatory Authority (PRA) in the United Kingdom, whose offices are at 20 Moorgate, London, EC2R 6DA and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA), whose head office is at 12 Endeavour Square, London, E20 1JN. The Company is supervised by the PRA.

A.1.6 Strategy

Soteria predominantly underwrote a mix of personal lines Motor and Home insurance before the Board made the decision to place the Company into run-off on 11 February 2021. The application to the PRA for Soteria's regulatory permissions to effect new insurance contracts to be cancelled was approved on 29 March 2021 and Soteria's last insurance policies expired in March 2022. Since entering run-off, the principal activity of the Company has been the administration of existing policies in force and the settlement of outstanding claims. The focus of the Directors is to meet all obligations to customers throughout a solvent run-off, to fulfil all regulatory requirements and, to the extent the Company has surplus capital above a prudent risk appetite to meet its liabilities and regulatory requirements, it aims to distribute this capital to its parent company.

A.1.7 Other Significant Events

Loss portfolio transfer ('LPT')

During the year, Soteria entered into an LPT arrangement with Darag to cover its obligations relating to liability claims, transferring liabilities of £23.6m on a UK GAAP basis. The net impact on inception of the arrangement was a profit of £5.7m. The LPT arrangement is managed on a funds withheld basis.

Other matters

On 20 December 2025, the Company's parent company, Saturn, signed an agreement to acquire MISL, subject to regulatory approval. MISL is a Managing General Agent which also provides insurance services and has acted as an outsourced service provider to Soteria since December 2020. The acquisition of MISL by Saturn will bring the currently outsourced operations under the control of Saturn and support the delivery of the business strategy of the Saturn Group.

A.2 Underwriting Performance

A.2.1 Overall Performance and Segmental Analysis

The table below shows the performance of the Company over the year to 31 December 2025.

	2025	2024
	£000	£000
Net earned premiums	(17,464)	(468)
Net policyholder claims and benefits	24,583	3,118
Fee and commission income	600	1,485
Fee and commission expenses	1	(23)
Acquisition and administrative expenses	(4,880)	(4,482)
Underwriting result	<u>2,840</u>	<u>(370)</u>
Net investment income	10,204	8,449
Investment expenses and charges	(2,337)	(2,432)
Other non-technical income	87	106
Profit on ordinary activities before tax	<u>10,794</u>	<u>5,753</u>

A more detailed analysis of the performance of Soteria, including comparatives against the prior year, can be found in the Annual Report and Accounts which are available at www.soteriainsurance.co.uk.

The underwriting result is described in more detail in Section A.2.2, below.

Investment income and net unrealised losses on investments reflect income on corporate and government bonds, collective investments, equity and real-estate backed lending held by the Company during the year. All unrealised gains and losses are included in the income statement. Investment income increased compared to the prior year despite the decrease in size of the overall investment portfolio, largely driven by an improved performance of listed debt securities.

A.2.2 Underwriting Performance

Analysis of Soteria's underwriting performance for the year to 31 December 2025, by line of business, is presented below. No geographic segmental reporting analysis is presented as all business is conducted in the UK, Isle of Man and the Channel Islands.

Underwriting performance has been impacted by Soteria entering run-off in 2021, with the final policies expiring in March 2022. The shrinking book of business led to a continued reduction in net claims paid during 2025. However, the prior year result for Motor benefited from the impact of a release of reserves in respect of prior year claims that did not recur in 2025, which has driven an adverse movement in the underwriting result year-on-year. The result for General liability and other insurance for 2025 reflect the one-off LPT transaction (see section A.1.7), which resulted in this line of business being fully reinsured.

Motor Insurance (Motor)

	2025	2024
	£000	£000
Net earned premiums	472	(263)
Net policyholder claims and benefits	841	7,139
Acquisition, administrative and commission expenses	(4,953)	(3,387)
Fee and commission income	601	1,485
Underwriting result	<u>(3,039)</u>	<u>4,974</u>

Fire and Other Damage to Property Insurance (Home)

	2025 £000	2024 £000
Net earned premiums	(13)	(205)
Net policyholder claims and benefits	170	(2,123)
Acquisition, administrative and commission expenses	73	(1,118)
Fee and commission income	-	-
Underwriting result	230	(3,446)

General liability and other insurance


	2025 £000	2024 £000
Net earned premiums	(17,923)	-
Net policyholder claims and benefits	23,572	(1,898)
Acquisition, administrative and commission expenses	-	-
Fee and commission income	-	-
Underwriting result	5,649	(1,898)

A.3 Investment Performance


A.3.1 Investment Income and Expenses

The Company has a portfolio of investments in corporate and government bonds, cash, collective investments and real-estate backed lending. Collective investments include funds of European asset-backed credit, global credit and equities. Investments are denominated in sterling, US Dollars and Euros, with currency hedges held against foreign exchange fluctuations.

2025
Actual investment holdings



2024
Actual investment holdings



The main portfolio, which is designed to meet the requirements of the short tail claims, is held in government bonds and investment grade corporate bonds, down to BBB rating. Other assets, which are to meet the demands of the longer term PPO portfolio, as well as provide additional income on surplus capital, are invested in corporate bonds rated BB and below, as well as collective investments and real-estate backed lending. The portfolios are rebalanced on a regular basis to ensure that the main portfolio contains sufficient investments to meet two years of forecast cashflows. Cash balances in both 2025 and 2024 are mainly held in money market funds, and are shown within cash on the balance sheet.

The table below analyses the Company's investment income and expenses.

	Investment income	Realised gains & losses	Unrealised gains & losses	Expenses
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Cash	2,360	21	240	
Government bonds	1,059	(21)	(878)	
Corporate bonds	4,127	(482)	1,357	
Equity	-	-	(308)	
Collective investment undertakings	1,106	-	98	
Real-estate backed lending	2,368	-	(609)	
Derivatives	(3)	269	160	
Other	-	(660)	-	
	11,017	(873)	60	(2,337)
Prior year	13,912	(7,067)	1,604	(2,432)
Movement	(2,895)	6,194	(1,544)	95

Investment performance has improved across the majority of the investment portfolio during 2025. Investment income increased compared with the prior year, despite the decrease in the size of the overall investment portfolio, largely driven by an improved performance of listed debt securities. The investment portfolio has benefited from its focus on targeting equity-like returns with below average durations.

A.3.2 Investment Gains and Losses Recognised Directly in Equity

As permitted under UK Generally Accepted Accounting Practice (UK GAAP), all investment gains and losses are recognised in the income statement and not directly in equity.

A.3.3 Investments in Securitisations

The Company had no investments in securitisations at December 2025.

A.4 Performance of Other Activities

The Company has no other information to disclose about the performance of other activities.

A.5 Any Other Information

A.5.1 Events after the reporting period

Since the end of 2025, geopolitical instability has increased, particularly in the Middle East and, whilst Soteria only operates in the UK, this can have an impact on supply chains and investment markets. The Company's management is closely monitoring the situation for any indirect exposure or other impact. At the current date there are no significant changes to the Company's principal risks and uncertainties or its solvency coverage.

B. System of Governance

This section provides information regarding the system of governance.


B.1 General Information on the System of Governance

B.1.1 Governance Structure

The Soteria Board is responsible for ensuring that an appropriate system of governance is in place. The Board has established a governance framework including a formal committee structure, consisting of the Soteria Board and its sub-committees (shown in the diagram below), Executive Management committees and Advisory (Operational) committees. The governance framework ensures that the accountability and responsibility of individual Senior Managers and directors is clearly defined and documented. This enables the Board, Executive and associated committees to interact effectively to support delivery of the agreed strategy and the ability to manage and mitigate the risks faced by the business.

The governance framework is managed using a 'Three Lines of Defence Model' (see Section B.3.1.3). Material changes to the governance framework over the year are described in Section B.1.5.

The diagram below illustrates how the Board and its sub-committees operate within the governance structure.



The sections below outline the main roles of the Soteria Board and its sub-committees.

Committee	Overview
Soteria Board	The Soteria Board is responsible for organising and directing the affairs of the Company in a manner that is most likely to promote the success of the business for the benefit of its shareholders and customers and is consistent with its purpose, regulatory and statutory requirements and corporate governance best practice. The Board ensures adherence to Consumer Duty that customer interests and outcomes are considered and embedded within the approval of strategy, risk appetite and key business decisions.
Soteria Board Risk Committee (BRC)	The purpose of the BRC is to oversee and advise the Soteria Board on current and potential risks and the overall risk framework. The committee also oversees Soteria's risk management arrangements, ensuring that Risk Appetite is appropriate and adhered to and that key risks are identified and managed. The committee is also charged with ensuring good customer outcomes.
Soteria Board Audit Committee (BAC)	The purpose of the BAC is to assist the Soteria Board in discharging its responsibilities for the integrity of Soteria's financial statements, to review the effectiveness of internal controls and risk management systems and to monitor the effectiveness and objectivity of internal and external auditors.
Soteria Board Investment Committee (BIC)	The purpose of the Committee is to provide direction on the investment strategy for Soteria and its risk appetite for investments, and to oversee and advise the Board on the performance of investments and compliance with the approved strategy and investment mandate.

B.1.2 Key Functions

Key Functions, as defined by Solvency II regulation, are those functions which, if not properly managed and overseen, could potentially lead to significant losses being incurred or to a failure in the on-going ability of the firm to meet its policyholder obligations. The firm's system of governance has identified those persons who are responsible for the Key Functions, known as Key Function Holders (KFHs), along with their lines of accountability.

The table below summarises the four Key Functions:

Key Function	Key Function Holder	Section Reference
Risk management function	Group Chief Risk Officer	B.3
Compliance function	Director of Compliance	B.4.2
Internal Audit	Group Chief Internal Auditor	B.5
Actuarial function	Group Chief Actuary	B.6

Details on how each Key Function has the necessary authority, independence and resources needed to carry out tasks and report to the Soteria Board are located under the section references noted in the above table.

B.1.3 Delegation of Responsibilities, Reporting Lines and Delegation of Functions

As a regulated company all accountabilities within Soteria are allocated as part of the Senior Manager and Certification Regime (SM&CR).

Senior Manager Functions are roles the regulators deem 'critical' within a firm. They are occupied by individuals who have significant influence over the firm's business strategy, culture and compliance with regulatory requirements. Individuals who are appointed to perform a Senior Manager function must be approved by the FCA and/or PRA.

Soteria is required to demonstrate how overall governance in the firm is managed and responsibilities are shared. This is done through Management Responsibilities Maps and supporting material, which shows how the individual responsibilities come together to ensure good governance at an entity level and demonstrates that there are no gaps in the allocation of responsibilities amongst its management.

In addition, the delegation of Financial Authorities is referenced within the Delegated Authorities Operating Manual, which sets out the specific delegated authorities by role and includes a wider, more granular set of financial authorities.

The list of Function Holders and the senior management responsibilities they hold is as follows:

Senior Manager Function	Description	Name	Senior Management Responsibilities Held
SMF1 SMF3	Chief Executive Officer Executive Director	Mark Summerfield	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Board (Executive Director) • Insurance Mediation • Human Resource • Learning and Development
SMF2	Chief Finance Officer	Steve Long	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Board • Financial Information • Regulatory Reporting • Investment Management • Climate Change Champion (investments)
SMF4	Group Chief Risk Officer	Esther Prescott	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk Management System • Conflicts of Interest • ORSA
SMF5	Group Chief Internal Auditor	Leigh Wylie	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internal Audit
SMF9	Chair of the Governing Body	Sharon Ludlow	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Board
SM10	Chair of Risk Committee	Neil Southworth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Board (including Consumer Duty Champion)
SMF11	Chair of Audit Committee	Stuart Vann	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Board
SMF12 SMF13	Chair of Remuneration Committee Chair of the Nominations Committee	Sharon Ludlow	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Board
SMF20	Group Chief Actuary	Martyn Green	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Actuarial • Reinsurance
SMF24	Chief Operations Officer	Chris Gillighan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Claims • Complaints • Third Party Distribution • Information Technology • Business Continuity • Health & Safety
SMF16	Director of Compliance	Angela Cooper	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compliance • Financial Crime

* Note that as at year end the Function Holder of SMF11 was Ewen Gilmour. Since 11 February 2026 the role is held by Stuart Vann.

B.1.4 Remuneration

Saturn Board Remuneration and Nomination Committee (Remco) determine the remuneration principles of Executives within Soteria.

The principles promote a competitive but not excessive employment offer which achieves an appropriate balance between fixed and variable pay, and which promotes sound and sustainable decision-making through effective risk management.

In determining Soteria Executive remuneration, Remco takes into account a number of principles which reflect the regulatory responsibilities of Soteria, including the following:

- To ensure that overall levels of remuneration are sufficient to attract, retain and motivate individuals of the quality necessary to manage Soteria effectively and successfully, but are not excessive in comparison to the relevant external market.

- To align the remuneration of Soteria Executives with balanced business judgement, in order to ensure that customers are placed first, and sustainable decisions and actions are taken in their best interests. Therefore, Soteria Executives are not remunerated solely on the basis of the profits of Soteria, but on a balanced scorecard including both customer and risk measures.
- To maintain a market-aligned and sustainable remuneration structure for Soteria Executives.
- Any performance conditions attached to incentive awards will be appropriate, stretching and support the strategy and purpose of Soteria.
- To ensure that performance conditions do not encourage excessive risk taking and protect the delivery of fair customer outcomes, variable remuneration will be subject to appropriate claw-back and, where appropriate, malus arrangements.
- Remuneration for new hires should be sufficient to attract Executives of the required calibre using the same policies that apply to current Soteria Executives.
- If Soteria Executives depart Soteria, REMCO will aim to ensure colleagues are treated fairly whilst minimising the cost to Soteria.

Long term incentive awards and supplementary pension and early retirement schemes

The Company does not contractually issue any long-term incentive awards. No supplementary pension or early retirement schemes are offered.

Remuneration paid to Non-Executive Directors

The Soteria Board delegated responsibility for determining the Non-Executive Directors' (NED) fees to the Chair and the Chief Executive Officer (CEO). Fees were last reviewed on appointment of the NEDs.

The Soteria Board REMCO is responsible for determining the fees payable to the Board Chair.

Further information relating to remuneration including compensation paid to key management and Non-Executive Directors is included in Note 31 of the Company's Annual Report and Accounts.

B.1.5 Material Changes

There have been a number of changes to the SMF responsibilities:

- Steve Long was appointed as Group Chief Finance Officer (SMF2) replacing Maria Leighton.
- Stuart Vann was appointed as Chair of the Audit Committee (SMF11) replacing Ewen Gilmour.
- Sally Clarke was appointed as Group Chief Customer Officer (SMF18).
- Angela Cooper was appointed as Director of Compliance (SMF16) replacing Leon Harrison.

The following changes were made to the sub-Board Committee structure:

- The Information Security and Data Oversight (ISDO) Committee was renamed to Information Security and Technology (IST) Committee. The purpose of the committee continues to be to oversee the Company's information and data assets.
- A Claims Committee was established to provide expertise, leadership and direction on claims strategy, to drive and support initiatives to improve claims outcomes, and to oversee any outsourced activity and ensure claims performance is aligned to risk appetite and expected results.
- A Data Governance committee was established to assist the Executive Committee in the oversight, challenge and monitoring of the Group's use of data within business decisions and processes.
- Three finance committees were established to replace the Investments, Finance and Capital Committee. They are the Finance Committee, the Financial Risk Committee and the Management Investments Committee.

B.1.6 Material Transactions

Information relating to transactions with related companies, including key management compensation, can be found in Note 31 to the Company's Annual Report and Accounts.

B.2 'Fit and Proper' Requirements

Persons who effectively run the business or who are responsible for other Key Functions within the business must be 'fit and proper' at all times. This means that these persons must have adequate professional qualifications, knowledge and experience to enable the sound and prudent management of the firm and that they are of good repute and integrity.

Soteria has established an SMCR policy which includes processes for assessing fitness and propriety which comply with the Senior Managers and Certification Regime (SM&CR). Soteria has identified Certified Employees (the next tier of management below Senior Managers where the role has a risk of significant harm to the firm or any of its customers) to which the requirements also apply. Soteria will ensure that Senior Managers and Certified Employees are at all times fit and proper persons.

The fit and proper assessment of Senior Managers and Certified Employees is performed proportionately, with relatively more attention being given to the assessment of Senior Managers.

Under fit and proper requirements the Company must be satisfied that the person:

- has the personal characteristics (including being of good repute and integrity)
- possesses the level of competence, knowledge and experience
- has the necessary qualifications
- has undergone or is undergoing all necessary training

to enable that person to perform their function effectively and in accordance with any relevant regulatory requirements. Note: A list of the Persons in the undertaking that are responsible for the four mandatory Key Functions is shown in Section B.1.2 and a list of delegated responsibilities is in Section B.1.3.

B.2.1 Process for Assessing Fitness and Propriety

The process for assessing fitness and propriety comprises of two stages:

1. Pre-appointment

To assess an individual's fitness and propriety to perform a role the following steps are undertaken:

- Request a formal application along with a full and comprehensive CV.
- Request and review evidence of relevant qualifications, where appropriate.
- Interviews conducted by individuals with appropriate expertise and seniority within the firm.
- Obtain regulatory references from previous employers. These must include the minimum information as prescribed by our regulators. Personal references may also be obtained.
- Criminal records checks.
- Credit checks to establish an individual's financial soundness.
- Other due diligence from other publicly available sources, for example Financial Services Register, Companies House (to establish any conflicts of interest) and media searches.

The Company will only recruit individuals to a position of significant influence who have the appropriate skills, knowledge core competencies and experience. Where any minor development needs are identified these will be addressed as part of a development plan and the individual will be provided with appropriate support.

The Company will also ensure that any appointments to the Board contain an appropriate mix of skills and experience, and the right mix of both financial industry capability and critical perspective from high-level experience in other major businesses.

2. On-going (post appointment)

The Company monitors an individual's fitness and propriety on an on-going basis via regular performance appraisals. In addition, an assessment is conducted and documented on an annual basis using the following information:

- Results of performance appraisals, including adherence to the conduct rules outlined by SM&CR.
- Criminal records checks.
- Credit checks to establish an individual's financial soundness.
- Progress against development plans, where appropriate.
- Self-certification by the individual as to their fitness and propriety.
- Other relevant supporting documentation, for example an assessment of their risk performance over the year, Internal Audit Summary, role profiles & Continuous Professional Development logs.
- An up-to-date version of their handover pack as part of succession planning protocol – Senior Managers only.

B.3 Risk Management System Including the Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA)

B.3.1 Risk Management System

The Risk Management Framework (RMF) identifies processes, ownership, responsibilities and the oversight required to support effective implementation of Risk Management across the business.

Effective Risk Management is essential for the achievement of business success and is everyone's responsibility. Customers, members, regulators and other stakeholders expect the Company to manage risk effectively.

B.3.1.1 Risk Strategy & Appetite

The Company's Risk Strategy is owned and approved by the Soteria Board, supported by a capital coverage risk appetite requirement, and overarches the risk appetite statements.

The Risk Strategy: "Ensuring good outcomes for customers, and avoiding customer harm, the purpose of Soteria is solvently run off the remaining claims and distribute the surplus capital to shareholders, all achieved whilst maintaining a prudent risk appetite and complying with all regulation.

Risk Appetite is the expression of how much risk Soteria would be prepared to accept in pursuit of its strategy. One or more metrics underpin each of the risk appetite statements, along with 'red', 'amber' and 'green' thresholds for monitoring and reporting exposure against each metric. The Soteria Board owns and approves the Soteria Risk Appetite and delegates the setting of more detailed risk limits through the assignment of Risk Owner accountabilities to the appropriate SMF holder.

The detailed statements and their supporting metrics are contained within Risk Strategy and Appetite documents which are maintained by the Group Chief Risk Officer (CRO) of Soteria and reviewed annually. All of the statements and metrics have been updated this year.

B.3.1.2 Risk Management Process

The risk management process outlines the key requirements including roles and responsibilities for the way in which risk management is conducted across the business. The risk management process consists of five stages:

1. Identification.
2. Measurement.
3. Management.
4. Monitoring.
5. Reporting.

The purpose and requirements for each stage of the risk management process are outlined in the section below.

Stage	Purpose	Requirement
Risk Identification	To identify the current and emerging risks that may impact the Company.	The process requires in-depth knowledge of the Company's strategic and operational objectives, business, markets and structure.
Risk Measurement	To quantify the risks to the Company in a consistent manner.	Risks within the Company are assessed by considering the 'likelihood' of the risk materialising and the 'impact' should it materialise. Risks within the Company are assessed using a 5 x 5 Risk Assessment Matrix.
Risk Management	To carry out an appropriate strategy to address the risk in question.	Risk mitigation by the use of well documented and robust controls will be the most appropriate approach for the majority of risks.
Risk Monitoring	To ensure that the selected risk management approach is effective, and to keep track of any changes which may impact the risk environment and the level of exposure over time.	Exposure by risk type is monitored on a regular basis. The frequency will depend upon the materiality of the risk.
Risk Reporting	To provide the Soteria Board, Executive and senior management with an accurate, timely and clear account of the current risk exposure and to highlight any risks to achievement of business objectives.	This is achieved by taking the most material outputs from the above processes and presenting them to the Board. Ultimately the Soteria CRO is responsible for ensuring that this aim is met.

B.3.1.3 Three Lines of Defence

The RMF has been built around the 'Three Lines of Defence' model as follows:

- 1st line: identify and manage risk in day to day operations.
- 2nd line: provide oversight, challenge and assurance of 1st line activities; establish and oversee the risk management framework.
- 3rd line (Internal Audit): provide independent assurance that the RMF is being executed as intended and functioning correctly (see Section B.5 for further details of the Internal Audit function).

Soteria provides 1st line oversight of all outsourced activities. The 2nd line also provides review and oversight in accordance with Soteria's risk profile.

B.3.1.4 Policies and Controls

Policies

Soteria has a set of risk policies in place to manage risk across the business. Each risk policy is owned by a designated SMF. These policies outline the principles that the SMF expects the business to follow.

The individual policies are reviewed and updated by the SMF annually, as a minimum, to ensure on-going relevance and effectiveness against business strategy and organisational design, or any changes in external regulatory requirements.

Controls

Each risk identified in the risk register has one or more controls appended to it. Each of the controls is owned and approved by the relevant SMF, however they may choose to delegate the management and testing of the controls to a subject matter expert within their area. The SMF must attest that all controls are operating effectively every 6 months and call out areas of control weakness.

B.3.1.5 Risk Management Integration – Alignment of Risk Profile to Solvency Needs

Qualitative Review

The Company has considered the appropriateness of the Standard Formula in run off and concluded that overall, the Standard Formula remains appropriate for Soteria in run off. This is on the basis that Soteria's risks are very "standard" UK based, private motor and home insurance, with an investment mandate covered by the Standard Formula delegated acts, which also takes market risk to match, over the medium term, the inflation risks faced by the longer tailed (mainly PPO) sub-portfolio of liabilities.

It is recognised that in run off, PPO reserves and the associated credit risk from reinsurance on these are a higher proportion of the book than an average company that remains open to new business. However, this does not in itself make Soteria non-standard as there are many run-off entities in existence. The fundamental nature of these risks does not change in run off. Therefore, the change in proportions does not mean that the Standard Formula is not appropriate.

B.3.2 Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA)

The ORSA is the totality of all processes used to identify, measure, manage, monitor and report the short term and long term risks the Company faces or may face and the Own Funds necessary to ensure solvency requirements are met on a continuous basis.

The Company has a governance structure to ensure the necessary technical expertise to provide input to and challenge the ORSA:

- The Soteria Board has ultimate responsibility and accountability for the ORSA including providing direction for the overall approach.
- The overall responsibility for the conduct and documentation of the ORSA lies with the Soteria CRO and the Risk function. The Soteria CRO will provide oversight across the overall RMF for all known risks and related processes and controls.
- Business areas are responsible for providing requested documentation in support of the underlying ORSA process and production of reports.

B.3.2.1 ORSA Policy

The ORSA policy sets out the Company's approach to the conduct of the ORSA and its reporting. The policy outlines the framework approved by the Soteria Board to ensure that the ORSA is an integral part of business planning, strategy and decision making; and the Soteria Board has an active role in directing the ORSA process and challenging the output.

All employees are required to comply with the requirements of the ORSA Policy and to report any breaches in accordance with the guidance contained within the RMF Policy.

There is also a dividend extraction policy which sets out the process approved by the Soteria Board that must be followed in the event that Soteria wishes to pay a dividend up to its parent.

B.3.2.2 ORSA Principles

The ORSA policy is founded on the following principles:

Process	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The ORSA is forward-looking and closely related to business planning. <i>Risk and solvency is considered and projected over (at least) the Company's medium term planning horizon. The ORSA considers emerging risks, the impact of the business plan on its risk profile, and the extent to which the strategic plan aligns with risk appetite.</i> 2. The ORSA considers the link between the risk profile, approved risk appetite limits and overall solvency needs. <i>The ORSA considers capital and solvency on all relevant regulatory and internal bases, including reconciliations and explanations for differences.</i> <i>The ORSA includes an analysis of the Standard Formula against the Company's risk-profile.</i> <i>The internal economic view of the Company's risks is calculated based upon the Standard Formula, which is adjusted appropriately.</i> <i>The ORSA considers the quantity and quality of Own Funds over the business planning period and the composition of Own Funds across tiers.</i> 3. The ORSA encompasses all material quantitative and qualitative risks that may impact the Company. <i>The ORSA will assess exposure to these risks against the risk appetite limits set by Soteria Board.</i> <i>The ORSA documents and explains the change in the risk profile, capital and solvency from the previous exercise. This assessment includes confirmation of continuous solvency over the period from the previous ORSA report.</i> 4. The ORSA includes stress tests, sensitivity analyses and reverse stress tests. <i>The tests are performed at least annually, normally as part of the Strategic Planning process, and additionally on an ad hoc basis if appropriate. These include economic scenarios, individual stress events and sensitivities to key assumptions. Reverse stress tests are events or a combination of events that would lead to business failure. The analysis includes the impact upon solvency, which provides management with information on the potential vulnerabilities faced by Soteria so that they can identify appropriate management actions.</i>
Report and Documentation	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. A full ORSA report is produced annually alongside, or shortly after, the Company's Strategic Plan. <i>An annual frequency is considered appropriate to update the full ORSA report in normal circumstances, given the Company's business model and risk profile.</i> 6. The full ORSA report documents the ORSA process, conclusions and implications, providing links to further evidence. 7. Ad hoc updates to the ORSA report are produced following material changes to the Company's current and/or projected risk profile, business model or solvency position. <i>The CRO is responsible for recommending to the Saturn and Soteria Boards when an ad hoc ORSA assessment and report should be carried out, which may also be carried out upon request by the Soteria Board or the PRA.</i> 8. The risk team will produce and maintain an ORSA record document.

B.3.2.3 ORSA Process

The ORSA process is the on-going process by which Soteria manages and assesses its risk and solvency (both regulatory and internal) within its decision-making processes.

The table below highlights the key ORSA activities that take place and the decision-making process that they feed into:

Process	Key activities that form part of the ORSA process
Business Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Setting and quantifying stresses and scenarios at least annually • Ongoing Financial Projections including capital and solvency • Annual Production of full ORSA report • Quarterly review of credit risk and reserves
Investment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ongoing Liquidity risk management including stress testing and projections • Investment strategy review and management
Risk Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ongoing maintenance of risk register and RCSA process

Process	Key activities that form part of the ORSA process
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual review of Risk Management Framework • Risk reporting including quarterly CRO reports to Board • Annual review of risk strategy and appetite
Capital Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monthly calculation of capital requirements and solvency, both internal and regulatory • Annual review of SF Appropriateness • Determination of appropriate capital extraction and dividend application process
Ad Hoc Strategic Processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviewing risk, capital and solvency implications of mergers, acquisitions, further reinsurance purchase and other strategic activity • Production of ad hoc ORSA reports, if necessary

Production and Review Frequency

The most recent ORSA was approved by the Soteria Board in Q2 2025.

The Company's current and projected risk profile and solvency position is monitored continuously with reporting provided on a quarterly basis to the Soteria Board. There were no ad-hoc ORSAs produced in 2025.

B.4 Internal Control System

The controls operating in a business area include all measures and practices used to reduce exposures for all types of risk that could prevent an organisation from achieving its objectives. A strong and effective control framework is fundamental to effective management of risk, allowing management to reduce risks to acceptable levels.

Each control must be assessed in terms of its design and performance. Such assessment is required to determine how effective the control is in reducing either the impact or likelihood of each risk, and to enable the determination of the residual risk, after taking into account the operation of all controls.

As part of the Company's RMF the following internal processes are performed by members of Soteria's Executive Team:

- They understand the risks and controls in their area of accountability.
- They have assessed the risk and controls where they are a SMF and completed attestations to this effect.
- They have implemented and embedded the requirements of the Risk Policies and associated Control Standards within their business area/function.
- They have undertaken a Risk and Control Self-Assessment process, including assessments of control effectiveness with suitable evidence having been retained to support test results (see Section B.4.1).
- Material risks have been reported through appropriate governance, and are being mitigated or have been formally recorded as risk exceptions.
- All material risk events within their area of accountability have been reported and are being managed through to achieve closure, understand the root cause and improve controls.
- There are no further risk management or internal control matters that require disclosure.

B.4.1 Risk and Control Self-Assessment (RCSA)

Each Soteria Executive is required to undertake an RCSA, which identifies the risks to the achievement of their key objectives and the controls against these risks, together with an assessment of the effectiveness of the controls (Design and Performance) with appropriate testing of control performance.

The RCSAs cover all material controls.

The Soteria CRO ensures that RCSAs are reviewed and challenged by the 2nd Line Risk function to ensure these provide reasonable assurance over the material accuracy of the Executive and SMF assurances.

Soteria also operates:

- a risk exception process to ensure that there is a consistent procedure to provide transparency, challenge and oversight of risks where no further mitigation action is being taken.
- a risk events process to capture and assess the impact of all risk events considering all risk categories. Given the nature of the outsourcing agreement, risk events are also captured by MSG (AISL and MISL) and are monitored via the Executive Risk Committee.

In addition to this, Soteria maintains regular dialogue with both the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and Prudential Regulatory Authority (PRA) to ensure that they are updated on any material control issues.

Risk and control assessments are provided by each Soteria Executive for all of their areas of accountability. This procedure of producing assessments is required on a six monthly cycle.

B.4.2 Compliance Function

The Director of Compliance holds compliance officer responsibilities.

The Compliance Function ensures the Saturn Group complies with all relevant laws, regulations and expectations of the PRA and FCA. The team acts as the primary contact for regulators, coordinating responses to supervisory activity, requests and notifications.

The Compliance Function conducts regular horizon scanning to identify regulatory developments and support timely implementation of required changes. It provides regulatory advice to business areas on control design, projects and operational queries, helping ensure obligations are understood and met. Working with HR, the function oversees key Senior Managers & Certification Regime activities, including upkeep of the Management Responsibilities Map, Senior Manager applications and assessments of Certified individuals. The team performs second line assurance over certain first line processes and monitors the effectiveness of relevant policies and procedures. Compliance also oversees regulatory training to promote a strong culture of good conduct. Regular reporting is provided to Senior Management, Boards and Committees to ensure visibility of regulatory obligations, risks and ongoing compliance activity. Through its oversight and advisory role, the function supports the Group's integrity, resilience and commitment to customer protection.

B.5 Internal Audit Function

B.5.1 Purpose

The role of Internal Audit is established by the Soteria BAC on behalf of the Board of Directors. The purpose of the Internal Audit function is to strengthen Soteria's ability to create, protect, and sustain value by providing the board and management with independent, risk-based, and objective assurance, advice, insight, and foresight.

B.5.2 Mandate

Authority

The Board Audit Committee grants the Internal Audit function the mandate to provide the Board and senior management with objective assurance, advice, insight, and foresight.

Internal Audit's authority is created by its direct reporting relationship to the Board Audit Committee Chair. Such authority allows for unrestricted access to the Board.

The Board authorises the Internal Audit function to:

- have full and unrestricted access to all functions, data, records, information, physical property, and personnel pertinent to carrying out Internal Audit responsibilities. The Group Chief Internal Auditor is also authorised to request such access by the co-source audit partner(s) in discharging their services. Internal audit has procedures in place to ensure confidentiality and safeguarding of records and information provided;
- allocate resources, set frequencies, select subjects, determine scopes of work;
- apply techniques, and issue communications to accomplish the function's objectives; and
- obtain assistance from the necessary personnel of Soteria and other specialised services from within or outside Soteria to complete Internal Audit services.

All colleagues are expected to assist Internal Audit in performing its duties, as requested. Internal Audits which include within their scope activities performed by third parties are conducted in accordance with contractual audit rights.

Internal Audit is invited to attend all Executive Committee meetings and any other management decision making fora as deemed appropriate by the Group Chief Internal Auditor, who has full access to all related papers and minutes. The Group Chief Internal Auditor has full access to all Board and Board Committee papers and minutes and will be present at Board Audit and Board Risk Committee meetings. Internal Audit has the right to be informed promptly of any major potential or actual control failures relevant to the organisation, including any identified by the external auditors, regulators, or other external parties.

The Group Chief Internal Auditor will have access to the Board Audit Committee and individual members of the Board/Committees, without the presence of executive management, at any time.

Independence and Organisational Position

Internal Audit is a Senior Management Function under the Senior Managers and Certification Regime (SM&CR), with the Group Chief Internal Auditor holding the SMF5 (Senior Manager Function 5 – Head of Internal Audit) position. The Group Chief Internal Auditor will meet with key regulators (the Prudential Regulation Authority, and the Financial Conduct Authority) as requested, maintaining open and cooperative dialogue where relevant, and adhering to the conduct rules under SM&CR.

The Group Chief Internal Auditor is positioned at a level in the organisation that enables internal audit services and responsibilities to be performed without interference from management, thereby establishing the independence of the internal audit function. The Group Chief Internal Auditor reports functionally to the Board Audit Committee Chair and administratively (for example, day-to-day matters) to the Chief Executive Officer. This positioning provides the organisational authority and status to bring matters directly to senior management and escalate matters to the Board, when necessary, without interference and supports the internal auditors' ability to maintain objectivity.

The Group Chief Internal Auditor will confirm to the Board Audit Committee, at least annually, the organisational independence of the internal audit function. The Group Chief Internal Auditor will disclose to the Board Audit Committee any interference internal auditors encounter related to the scope, performance, or communication of internal audit work and results. The disclosure will include communicating the implications of such interference on the internal audit function's effectiveness and ability to fulfil its mandate.

B.5.3 Scope

The scope of internal audit services covers the entire breadth of the organisation, including all of Soteria's activities, assets, and personnel (including subsidiary and outsourced activities). Internal Audit maintains an audit universe to cover all of Soteria's activities and risks to ensure completeness of its planning activities. The scope of internal audit activities encompasses but is not limited to objective examinations of evidence to provide independent assurance and advisory services to the Board and management on the adequacy and effectiveness of Soteria's governance, risk management, and control processes.

Internal Audit determines what areas within its scope should be included within the annual audit plan by adopting an independent risk-based approach. Internal Audit does not necessarily cover all potential scope areas every year. Internal Audit will provide assurance over specific areas as requested by Regulators.

Internal Audit can also, where appropriate, undertake special investigations and consulting engagements at the request of the Board, Board Committees, and/or senior management. The nature and scope of advisory services may be agreed with the party requesting the service, provided the internal audit function does not assume management responsibility. The scope and nature of any such assignments will be discussed and agreed as these arise, ensuring that Internal Audit has adequate resources to perform this work without adversely impacting the agreed audit plan. The Group Chief Internal Auditor will resource any such assignments in a way which does not impact the independence of Internal Audit in any future assurance work.

Opportunities for improving the efficiency of governance, risk management, and control processes may be identified during advisory engagements. These opportunities will be communicated to the appropriate level of management.

B.5.4 Roles and responsibilities in the Risk Management Framework

Internal Audit is the third line of defence within the organisation's risk management framework. Internal Audit independently challenges the overall design and operation of the risk management framework and provides assurance on the adequacy of both the first and second lines of defence, including the quality of their work.

It is the responsibility of management to identify, understand and manage risks effectively, including taking appropriate and timely action in response to audit findings. It is also management's responsibility to maintain a sound system of internal control, including those operated by third party providers. The existence of an Internal Audit function does not in any way relieve management of this responsibility.

Management is responsible for fraud prevention and detection. As Internal Audit performs its work, it will be alert to manifestations of fraud and weaknesses in internal control which would permit fraud and/or impede its detection. Any such observations will be reported by the Group Chief Internal Auditor. However, Internal Audit procedures alone are not designed to guarantee the detection of fraud.

B.5.5 Independence and Objectivity

The Group Chief Internal Auditor will ensure that the internal audit function remains free from all conditions that threaten the ability of internal auditors to carry out their responsibilities in an unbiased manner, including matters of engagement selection, scope, procedures, frequency, timing, and communication. If the Group Chief Internal Auditor determines that objectivity may be impaired in fact or appearance, the details of the impairment will be disclosed to the Board Audit Committee.

Internal auditors, including co-source auditors will maintain an unbiased mental attitude that allows them to perform engagements objectively such that they believe in their work product, do not compromise quality, and do not subordinate their judgment on audit matters to others, either in fact or appearance. Internal auditors will have no direct operational responsibility or authority over any of the activities they review. Accordingly, internal auditors will not implement internal controls, develop procedures, install systems, or engage in other activities that may impair their judgment. Co-source internal audit partners will confirm in writing to the Group Chief Internal Auditor in advance of each assignment that, with reference to Internal Audit Standards, the internal auditors performing the review are aware of independence and objectivity requirements and that should any such impairments be identified, these will be disclosed to the Group Chief Internal Auditor. The Group Chief Internal Auditor will document, in advance of each audit assignment, the resources to be used to complete the review and confirmation that there are no known conflicts of interest.

All internal auditors, including co-source auditors will:

- disclose impairments of independence or objectivity, in fact or appearance, to the Group Chief Internal Auditor as soon as they become aware of any such impairment;
- exhibit professional objectivity in gathering, evaluating, and communicating information;
- make balanced assessments of all available and relevant facts and circumstances; and
- take necessary precautions to avoid conflicts of interest, bias, and undue influence.

B.6 Actuarial Function

B.6.1 Overview

The Actuarial Function is one of the four key defined functions under Pillar II of the Solvency II regulations as defined in the Level 1 framework directive text Article 48.

As such the Actuarial Function is responsible for:

- Ensuring calculation of Technical Provisions (TPs) is undertaken using appropriate actuarial techniques.
- Validation of the calculation process and outputs (comparing expected experience against emerging experience).
- Providing opinion on the availability and suitability of data for the calculation of TPs.
- Communicating the results of the TP exercise to Management and the Soteria Board.
- Expressing an opinion on the adequacy of reinsurance arrangements.
- Contributing to the effective implementation of the risk-management system (in particular with respect to the risk modelling underlying the calculation of the capital requirements).
- Preparing an annual report to the Board stating how the requirements of the Actuarial Function have been discharged.

Since Soteria ceased underwriting, an opinion on the overall underwriting policy is a redundant requirement.

Soteria has an Actuarial function. The Chief Actuary is approved by the PRA as the Actuarial Function Holder (SMF20) under the Senior Managers' and Certification Regime. He holds a Practising Certificate issued by the Institute and Faculty of Actuaries as a Chief Actuary (Non-Life without Lloyd's).

Whilst Actuarial services including Technical Provisions calculations are included in Soteria's outsourcing agreement with Markerstudy Group, ownership of the approach and results remains the responsibility of Soteria.

Independence is essential for the effectiveness of the Actuarial Function. The Chief Actuary has authority and independence through unfettered access to the Board and to any Functions, and the Actuarial Function has the freedom to remain objective in performing its work.

Quarterly Reserve reviews and Technical Provisions are presented to the Quarterly Reserving Committee and Financial Risk Committees respectively. Senior management have the opportunity to challenge the results and the Chief Actuary is responsible for recommending results to the committees.

The Actuarial Function works closely with other members of the small Soteria management team, for the purposes of capital forecasting, stress and scenario testing and input into the ORSA.

B.7 Outsourcing

Soteria's approach to its outsourcing activity is documented within the Saturn Third Party Supplier Risk Policy. Where the Company outsources critical or important operational functions, services and activities it remains fully responsible for discharging all of its regulatory obligations, including the Consumer Duty requirement. To do this Soteria sets the following high level principles:

- Soteria management will exercise due skill, care and diligence when entering into, managing or terminating any arrangement for the outsourcing of an activity to a third party supplier. This is governed through a Supplier Management Framework which sets out a specific set of principles by which Soteria manages Third Party Service Provider Risk in a way that is consistent with its overall risk appetite and aligns with its purpose, values and vision.
- Any outsourcing must not result in the delegation of responsibility by senior Soteria management.
- Any third party service provider must protect any confidential information relating to Soteria or its customers and comply with the relevant GDPR legislation.
- Soteria's relationship with and obligations to its customers must not be altered.
- The conditions for the authorisation of the regulated entities within Soteria must not be undermined.

Key activities outsourced are:

- Claims handling & loss adjusting (for example; Motor, Home & Personal Injury).
- IT, Finance and HR Services.
- Banking activities.
- Investment Management.
- Internal Audit reviews.

All key activities listed above fall within UK regulated jurisdiction. Some other key activities, such as claims supply chain management, are conducted on behalf of Soteria by its key outsourcing partner MISL.

B.8 Any other information

B.8.1 Adequacy of the System of Governance

The system of governance is adequate based on the nature, scale and complexity of the risks inherent in the business.

B.8.2 Other Material Information

There is no further information to disclose regarding the system of governance.

C. Risk Profile

Risks are classified into Level 1 and Level 2 categories. The Level 1 risks are the highest category of inherent financial and non-financial risks to which the Company is exposed. This section describes these risks and how they are managed, measured and mitigated.

The most material risks that the Company is exposed to are reserve risk and market risk.

Soteria has in place a robust Governance Structure and Risk Management Framework which includes a process for setting and reporting against risk appetite. The effectiveness of this framework and reporting is monitored by the Board. This process ensures that all risk mitigation activity in place is operating effectively.

Unless stated, there has been no change in the measurement methods used over the year. Details of how each of these risks is covered within the Standard Formula Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR) are shown in Section E.2.

C.1 Insurance Risk

Description

Insurance (reserving) risk comprises the risk of loss resulting from adverse change in the value of insurance liabilities.

As described in Section A.1.6, Soteria is in run-off, and there is therefore no live exposure to underwriting risk.

The nature of insurance contracts is that the obligations of the insurer are uncertain as to the timing or quantum of liabilities arising from contracts. Given the uncertainty in establishing claims provisions, it is likely that the final outcome will prove to be different from the original liability established.

Risk Management Objective

Soteria manages insurance risk in order to achieve stable insurance earnings, a fair customer experience and to meet all regulatory requirements.

Risk Exposure

- Key risks under Motor policies for a run off book relate to uncertainty with respect to the ultimate cost of claims for bodily injury to third parties, which are exposed to judicial, legislative and inflationary changes. As run off progresses, motor property damage is becoming less material.
- Home policies are also less exposed to property type claims as run off progresses, and subsidence is the longest tailed type of claim.
- Risks exist with respect to historical classes of business, in particular the liability class which is long tailed and exposed to latent claims. During the year, Soteria entered into an LPT arrangement with Darag to cover its obligations relating to liability claims. Further information is included in Note 24 of the Company's Annual Report and Accounts.
- Reserve risk can also arise from a change in either management margin (UK GAAP) or Risk Margin (Solvency II), although ultimately these margins will run down to nil.

Risk Measurement

Insurance risk is primarily measured by considering the movement in gross and net reserves over the last quarter/year relative to agreed thresholds. Unexpected movement in reserves is one of Soteria's most material risks.

Risk Mitigation

Mitigation technique	Explanation
Minimising reserve risk volatility through proactive claims handling, the claims provisioning process and robust reserving and modelling approaches	The Company outsources its claims handling and reserving processes to Markerstudy Insurance Services Limited (MISL). Oversight of these processes is maintained by the outsourcing agreement in place, the ISARA, which is monitored on a monthly basis. The Company manages reserve risk through Soteria's Quarterly Reserving Committee which supports Soteria's Chief Financial Officer (CFO) in their responsibility to formally review claims reserves on a quarterly basis.
Mitigating risk through the use of appropriate reinsurance arrangements	Reinsurance has been used to manage insurance risk. The Company has excess of loss cover in place to cover large motor and catastrophe claims. For the years 2017-2020 a quota share arrangement of both Motor and Home business was in place.

The Company does not use Special Purpose Vehicles (SPVs) as a means of mitigating risk.

Sensitivity Analysis

A number of stress tests around reserve risks are captured within Section C.7.2.

C.2 Market Risk

Description

Market risk is the risk of loss or of adverse change in the financial situation resulting, directly or indirectly, from fluctuations in the level and in the volatility of market risk drivers such as interest rates and market prices of assets and liabilities.

Risk Management Objective

Soteria is in run-off and therefore investment income is required to help meet claims outgoings and discharge liabilities. The focus of Soteria's investment strategy going forward will therefore be on income generating, cashflow matching assets that deliver the required level of investment return with a high degree of certainty and liquidity whilst also mitigating duration, inflation and currency risk.

Risk Exposure

- Interest rate risk: The value of, or income from, investments held is subject to volatility from changes in market interest rates.
- Discount rate risk: Changes in interest rates also impact Soteria through the discounted present value placed upon future claims. All future claims are discounted for assessing solvency on both an economic and a regulatory basis.
- Credit spread risk: Soteria is exposed to additional spreads related to the specific credit-worthiness of the issuer ("credit spreads").
- Bond default risk: The risk of loss due to default or delay in payments upon bank deposits, bonds or other money market instruments other than those issued by the UK government.
- Climate change risk: The risks arising from the adjustment to a low-carbon economy which could impact the firm's assets in particular the value of investments.
- Other market risks include risks such as equity or property risks whereby the value of investment funds is subject to volatility with the resulting movements in the market values directly affecting Company solvency.
- Currency Risk: The Company wrote contracts of insurance in the United Kingdom, and both insurance liabilities and borrowings are denominated in sterling. Funds include investments denominated in Euros and US Dollars as well as sterling and consequently there is an exposure to currency risk, however this is minimised through the use of currency hedges. Basis risk is the potential risk that arises from mismatches in a hedged position.
- Note that the Company is not exposed to any market risks in respect of pension schemes.

Risk Measurement

Market risk is primarily measured by considering compliance with the investment mandate. A forward-looking measure is also captured by considering the material risk of economic outlook and investment volatility.

Risk Mitigation

Mitigation technique	Explanation
Management of risk through governance and the investment mandate	<p>The investment mandate is approved by the Soteria Board and sets strategic asset allocation and limits of investment types and durations.</p> <p>The Management Investments Committee supports the Soteria CFO in overseeing the monitoring and management of these risks and exposures against limits.</p> <p>The mandate is determined through considering the risk/reward trade off, the term and nature of the liabilities and the impact upon capital adequacy and solvency of the overall business.</p>
Management of credit spread and default risks from corporate bonds	<p>Through setting limits for exposure to credit ratings and individual counterparties and transacting only through a diversified range of authorised counterparties.</p> <p>Ensuring that any cash deposits (in excess of counterparty limits) are collateralised on a daily basis.</p>
Management of interest rate risk through investing in securities with a similar duration profile to the liabilities under the general insurance contracts	<p>The OCIO-managed portfolio is designed to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hedge the Technical Provisions liabilities, aiming to reduce exposure to interest rate and inflation risk where possible. • Maintain adequate liquidity, in conjunction with additional liquidity holdings managed outside the OCIO mandate.

Mitigation technique	Explanation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support solvency coverage targets, in line with ranges set out in the Capital Policy, and in line with Solvency II requirements. <p>Specific assets are used to match periodic payment orders (PPOs) by amount and appropriate to the long duration of these liabilities.</p>

Sensitivity Analysis

The most significant aspects of market risk to which Soteria is exposed are changes in the value of investments and the effect of changes in credit spreads on corporate bonds. The resulting movements in the market values directly affect Soteria's solvency.

An increase of 100 basis points in credit spreads would reduce the value of the Company's assets at the end of the financial year by £1,163k, although it is likely that the overall net impact on solvency would be lower than this as the fall would be partially offset by a reduction in the value of liabilities arising from the use of the Volatility Adjustment to value claims provisions. The impact of a decrease of 100 basis points in credit spreads would have similar but opposite effects. Changes in the market value of investment undertakings also affects Soteria's solvency. Every £1,000k reduction in the value of these assets impacts the overall value of the Company's assets held, with a corresponding reduction in solvency offset in part by a reduction in the SCR.

Climate Change

Soteria has incorporated Climate Change into its Risk Management Framework and has assigned an owner who is responsible for the management and reporting of risks associated with climate change.

The Company has no live policies on risk meaning the exposure to climate change in the short term is limited. There will remain some longer tail claims, predominantly bodily injury, which are not expected to settle for a number of years. Climate change is not expected to have a material impact on the cost of these claims.

The Company does have an exposure to climate risk in its investment portfolio and, therefore, in market risk. The risks include a fall in the value of corporate bonds in carbon intensive companies due to stranded assets or legislation to deal with climate change. Furthermore, as more investors move to sustainable investment strategies there is the risk that investments that fall outside these criteria fall in price as a result. Soteria manages these risks by limiting its direct exposure to fossil fuels.

The Company considers that there is limited impact, particularly in the short to medium term, from climate change on its current business model and liabilities. It is incumbent on the Company's management, Board and investment partners to ensure that the longer- term investment strategy, albeit notably limited in size, is managed effectively and minimises the risk of excessive exposure to climate affected sectors.

Prudent Person Principle

In accordance with Solvency II, the PRA rules require that all Insurance undertakings should invest their assets in line with the Prudent Person Principle.

A transition to Aon Investments Limited ('Aon') as the Outsourced Chief Investment Officer (OCIO) occurred in the fourth quarter of 2025. For legacy related assets Hundle was instructed to manage the assets on a care and maintenance basis in line with the revised investment management agreement between Soteria and Hundle.

C.3 Credit Risk

Description

Credit risk is the risk to earnings and capital arising from a counterparty's failure to meet their legal and contractual obligations.

Risk Management Objective

Soteria does not aim to earn a return from credit risk. Potential losses arising from credit risk are minimised by the use of high quality reinsurers and highly rated investments.

Risk Exposure

The Company is primarily exposed to credit risk from reinsurance counterparties failing to meet financial obligations.

The Company manages credit risks associated with cash and corporate bonds as part of market risk (see Section C.2).

Risk Measurement

Credit risk is primarily measured by considering the compliance with the credit limits.

Risk Mitigation

Mitigation technique	Explanation
The Company manages credit risk through setting limits for exposure to credit ratings and individual counterparties	Operationally, credit risk is managed by setting robust contract terms and having in place cashflow management processes with all counterparties. The Financial Risk Committee supports the Soteria CFO in overseeing the monitoring and management of credit risk and exposures against limits.
The Company places limits over exposure to a single reinsurance counterparty or counterparty group, based upon their credit-worthiness	Where reinsurance is used to manage insurance risk, there is a risk that the reinsurer fails to meet its obligations in the event of a claim. These limits apply when reinsurance is initially placed, and are then regularly monitored by the Financial Risk Committee. Where concern exists over the credit quality of a reinsurer, a review will be undertaken to determine the most appropriate management action.

Sensitivity Analysis

A stress tests of credit risk, whereby the largest reinsurer defaults and only 50% is recovered, is captured within Section C.7.2.

C.4 Liquidity Risk

Description

Liquidity risk is the current and prospective risk to earnings or solvency arising from the Company's inability to meet its obligations when they come due without incurring unacceptable losses.

Risk Management Objective

The Company's objective is to maintain adequate liquidity at all times. This means the Company needs resources which are adequate to meet all policyholder and other funding obligations as they fall due, and achieves this primarily through the use of cash and highly liquid UK government and corporate bonds.

Risk Exposure

Soteria is exposed to liquidity risk as it needs liquid assets to meet its outgoings.

Risk Measurement

The model to assess liquidity takes into account projected future cashflows that would be required under stressed scenarios. Liquidity is assessed against minimum requirements for stressed investments and liquid investments. The values of stressed investments are the market values of the investments less haircuts which are based on the credit rating and maturity date of the investment. Liquid investments are cash and gilts.

Risk Mitigation

Mitigation technique	Explanation
Governance structure to monitor liquidity	The level of cash and other assets held are monitored regularly and reported to the Financial Risk Committee, with oversight by the BRC and Board. This includes monthly monitoring of liquid investments and stressed investments against risk appetite limits including forecasts.
The investment mandate controls the exposure to concentration risk	By setting limits on individual counterparties and credit ratings.

Expected Profits Included in Future Premiums

Solvency II regulations require the calculation of "Expected profits included in future premiums" (EPIFP). EPIFP are profits which result from the inclusion in Technical Provisions of premiums on existing (in force) business that will be received in the future, but that have not yet been received. They can be considered as the future premium receivable less the anticipated gross claims and expenses, related to this future premium only, and are calculated at Solvency II segment level for those classes producing a profit. The value of EPIFP is £nil.

Sensitivity Analysis

Stress Test and Scenario testing is captured within Section C.7.2.

C.5 Operational Risk

Description

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or external events.

Risk Management Objective

Operational risk is managed through the implementation of a robust control environment which minimises the potential for loss as a result of the failure of processes, people, technology and due to external events.

Risk Exposure

Soteria can divide the operational risk into the following categories:

- Financial Reporting Risk
- Information Risk
- Model Risk
- Technology Risk (inc Cyber)
- Third Party Supplier Risk
- People Risk
- Premises and Physical Security
- Financial Crime Risk
- Operational Resilience

Risk Measurement

Each operational risk sub-category has its own risk appetite metrics and thresholds, approved by the Board Risk Committee. Of the above, the most material risks are people risk and third party supplier risk.

Risk Mitigation

Mitigation technique	Explanation
Operational risks are identified, managed and mitigated through on-going risk management practices including appetite review and RCSA process	Operational risks and key controls are regularly reviewed by the Executive Team. Significant operational risks are reported to the Board Risk Committee (BRC) and Board.
Transfer of Risk	The Company has a corporate insurance programme to transfer specific risks to insurers as part of its risk management approach which in 2025 included employer's liability and Directors and Officers.

Sensitivity Analysis

A stress tests of operational risk, whereby Soteria has to source an alternative third-party provider is captured within Section C.7.2.

C.6 Other Material Risks

C.6.1 Strategic & Business Risk

Strategic & Business risk is the risk of not meeting strategic and business objectives caused by poor or sub-optimal strategy implementation, deployment of resources, decision making, strategic change programmes, economic, regulatory or other environmental factors resulting in lost earnings and capital. This category also includes expense risk (which is low as expenses are largely fixed) and reputation risk (which is low given that Soteria does not aim to have any market presence).

The Company's financial objective in managing these risks is to maintain capital adequacy.

The Company's Risk Strategy is set by the Board and supported by a capital coverage risk appetite requirement. This is measured, monitored and reported regularly to the Executive, Soteria BRC and Board.

C.6.2 Conduct Risk

Conduct risk is the risk that the Company's processes, behaviours, offerings or interactions will result in unfair outcomes or foreseeable harm for customers, in particular vulnerable customers.

The Company's objective is to offer a fair customer outcome and to meet all regulatory requirements.

Conduct risk may arise from any aspect of the way a business is conducted, the sole test being whether the outcome is an unfair one for customers. Conduct risk is a key area of focus across the financial services industry, with close scrutiny from the FCA. Although all claims handling has been outsourced to MISL, the Company retains ownership of this risk, and ensures it receives the appropriate MI to enable the Company to perform the required oversight.

C.6.3 Regulatory Risk

Regulatory risk is the risk of regulatory sanctions, regulatory censure, material financial loss or loss to reputation Soteria may suffer as a result of its failure to comply with regulations, rules, related self-regulatory organisation standards, and codes of conduct applicable to its activities.

The Company's objective is to be compliant with all relevant regulatory requirements.

Regulatory risks are regularly monitored and reported to the Soteria Executive, BRC and Board.

C.6.4 Conflicts of interest risk

Conflicts of Interest Risk is the risk that financial or other personal interests, relationships or obligations influence, or may be perceived to influence, a person's ability to act in the best interests of Tradex, its customers, or other stakeholders.

Soteria has established a Conflicts of Interest policy which sets the principles for the effective identification, monitoring and management of Conflict of Interest across Saturn. Conflicts of Interest are regularly monitored and reported to the Soteria Executive and Board.

C.7 Any Other Information

C.7.1 Risk Concentration

As Soteria progresses through run off it will inevitably become more exposed to concentration risk. In particular, reserves will become more dominated by PPOs. This is managed by regular review of reserves, stress testing and assessing standard formula appropriateness annually. Soteria manages concentration risk in its investments via the investment mandate which ensures an appropriate level of diversification and liability matching. In addition, reinsurance credit exposures are monitored to ensure they remain within defined limits.

C.7.2 Stress Tests

C.7.2.1 Stress Tests and Sensitivity Analysis

Soteria uses Scenario Analysis, Sensitivity Analysis and Reverse Stress-Testing to analyse the impact of scenarios and changes in assumptions on Solvency, as well as understanding the events that would have to occur to cause Solvency Coverage to fall below the Company's risk appetite.

The table below shows the scenarios considered, which risks each scenario takes into account, the assumptions used and the impact on the solvency coverage ratio if the stressed scenario were to occur.

Description		Primary Risk Type Impacted	Key assumptions	Return Period	Amount	
					£m	% of SCR
1	Investment stress	Market	Inflation +4.9% Rates +2.0% Reduction in various asset valuations	1 in 20 years or more	5-10	25%-30%
2	A) Inflation	Reserve	Uplift in subsidence claims	1 in 20 years or more	<5	10-15%
	B) Increase to BI claims		Uplift in BI claims only	1 in 20 years or more	<5	5%-10%
	C) PPO propensity		PPO propensity increases	1 in 20 years or more	<5	15%-20%
	D) PPO longevity		PPO life impairment reduced	1 in 20 to 1 in 100 years	5-10	20%-25%
3	RI default	Credit	Largest reinsurer fails	1 in 200 years or less	<5	5%-10%
4	MISL failure	Operational	SIL requires a new outsource partner	1 in 20 to 1 in 100 years	<5	<5%
Reverse stress		Combination of the above				

The investment stress is calculated by assessing the combined impact on own funds of changes in the yield curve, credit spreads and the valuation of various other assets. The reserve stresses are calculated by the reserving team as part of their year-end exercise. The RI default considers Soteria's largest exposure to a reinsurer and assumes that 50% is not recovered. Finally, the MISL failure stress is based on some work carried out on behalf of Soteria to calculate the cost of transferring to an alternative provider in the event that MISL were no longer able to fulfil their requirements.

The table demonstrates that Soteria is able to withstand any of the above stresses and remain within risk appetite. Note that an interest rate increase is beneficial for Soteria as the liabilities have a much longer duration than the assets.

Timing of the Stresses

All of the stress tests are assumed to occur in H1 2026 as this is when the impact would be greatest in terms of the quantitative impact of the stress.

Liquidity Stress Testing

Soteria uses a cashflow projection model for monitoring liquidity against internally set minimum liquidity requirements. The minimum liquidity requirements consider the projected cash outflows together with a large one-off payment, such as a regulatory fine or the settlement of a large bodily injury claim.

Stress tests indicate that both liquid and stressed investments remain above the minimum requirements throughout the planning period.

Sensitivity Testing

The table below shows the expected impact to the annual income statement of the various sensitivities, where a material impact is defined as anything which is above 2% of net assets

Sensitivity	Impact on future P&L
Reserves +/-10%	Material impact
Investment returns +/-10%	Low impact
Expense base +/-10%	Low impact

D. Valuation for Solvency Purposes

This section of the report focuses on the valuation of assets, technical provisions and other liabilities on the Solvency II balance sheet. For each material class of asset and liability under Solvency II, it describes the bases, methods and main assumptions used in the valuation, and where relevant, how this differs to the approach taken in the preparation of the Company's statutory financial statements prepared under UK GAAP.

It should be noted that differences between the UK GAAP and Solvency II balance sheets arise as from both valuation and presentational factors. The balance sheet format and classification requirements prescribed under Solvency II differ from the UK GAAP equivalents, resulting in presentational reclassifications between the line items. However, the primary focus of this section is on valuation methodologies rather than these presentational reclassifications.

The table below shows the balance sheet at the end of the reporting period under both a Solvency II and a statutory accounts basis. The general valuation principle under Solvency II is that assets and liabilities are valued on an economic basis, being the amount for which they could be exchanged between knowledgeable and willing parties in an arm's length transaction. Further detail of the bases, methods and main assumptions used is set out in Section D.1 (assets), Section D.2 (technical provisions) and D.3 (other liabilities).

	UK GAAP £000	Reclassifications £000	Restatements £000	Solvency II £000
Assets				
Investments	116,236	1,089	-	117,325
Mortgages and loans made	20,638	727	-	21,365
Reinsurance recoverables	90,392	(17,521)	(12,207)	60,664
Insurance and intermediaries receivables	1,835	(1,835)	-	-
Receivables (trade, not insurance)	10,288	(5)	102	10,385
Reinsurance receivables	-	679	-	679
Cash and cash equivalents	67,944	-	-	67,944
Any other assets, not elsewhere shown	1,814	(1,814)	-	-
Total assets	309,147	(18,680)	(12,105)	278,362
Liabilities				
Total technical provisions/ UK GAAP insurer contract liabilities	190,346	(1,338)	(8,866)	180,142
Derivative liabilities	-	2	-	2
Insurance and intermediaries payables	678	1,144	-	1,822
Reinsurance payables	18,348	(18,336)	-	12
Payables (trade, not insurance)	439	(187)	-	252
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	2,858	35	(10)	2,883
Total liabilities	212,669	(18,680)	(8,876)	185,113
Excess of assets over liabilities	96,478	-	(3,229)	93,249

D.1 Assets

D.1.1 Valuation Bases and Assumptions

Investments

Soteria holds a portfolio of investments, being holdings in debt securities (government bonds and corporate bonds), real estate backed lending and collective investments, which include funds of European asset-backed credit, global credit and equities. Investments are denominated in sterling, US dollars and euros, with currency hedges held to mitigate foreign exchange fluctuations.

There are no valuation differences between UK GAAP and Solvency II for these investments, as they are measured at fair value on both bases.

Fair value measurements are those derived from inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly (i.e. as prices) or indirectly (i.e. derived from prices). Full details of the fair valuation methodology applied to the Company's investments, including loans and mortgages, are set out in Note 3c to the Company's 2025 Annual Report and Accounts. Where alternative valuation methods are applied, detail is also given in section D.4 of this report.

Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognised and valued in accordance with the principles set out in FRS 102 under both UK GAAP and Solvency II. Deferred tax arises in respect of temporary differences between the carrying value of assets and liabilities and their tax base.

Under Solvency II, deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured based on the temporary differences between the Solvency II carrying values of assets and liabilities (recognised and valued in accordance with the Valuation and Technical Provisions parts of the PRA Rulebook for Solvency II firms) and the tax base, rather than the UK GAAP carrying values used in the statutory accounts.

In accordance with FRS 102 principles, the amount of deferred tax provided for is based on the expected manner of realisation or settlement of the carrying amount of assets and liabilities, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the balance sheet date.

Deferred tax assets are recognised only to the extent that:

- there are appropriate deferred tax liabilities against which the asset can be netted off; or
- it is considered probable that future taxable profits will be available against which the asset can be utilised.

Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realised.

The assessment as to whether future taxable profits are available is based on the Company's approved business plan. Based on forecast profits, the Company recognises the element of the deferred tax asset that it believes will be utilised within the planning horizon. At 31 December 2025 the Company had unrecognised deferred tax assets of £11,710k relating to UK GAAP losses carried forward of £46,840k.

The net £nil deferred tax asset on the Solvency II balance sheet is comprised as shown in the table below:

	Solvency II	UK GAAP
	£000	£000
Recognised deferred tax (assets)/ liabilities		
Capital allowances and other UK GAAP temporary differences	(144)	(144)
Tax on unrealised gains and losses	2,558	2,558
Tax on losses	(2,414)	(2,414)
Net deferred tax (asset)/liability	-	-
Losses not recognised for tax purposes		
UK GAAP losses not recognised for tax purposes	(11,710)	(11,710)
UK GAAP to Solvency II temporary differences	(807)	-
Losses not recognised for tax purposes	(12,517)	(11,710)

The capital allowance and other UK GAAP temporary differences principally comprises expected future tax depreciation in excess of accounting depreciation in relation to fixed assets. The tax on unrealised gains and losses amount relates to a transitional arrangement from the IFRS to UK GAAP translation, requiring that previously recognised deferred tax liabilities on unrealised gains on investments are brought into tax over a 10 year period from 1 January 2022. The tax on losses relates to carried forward UK GAAP tax losses which may be utilised against the above unrealised gains and losses when they are brought into tax over the next 10 years.

Reinsurance Recoverables

The value of reinsurance recoverables reflects the expected claims and benefits arising under reinsurance contracts, with an appropriate allowance to reflect counterparty risk. To the extent that the Solvency II valuation of the related gross technical provisions differs from the valuation in the statutory accounts, the value of the related reinsurance contract will also be impacted. As reinsurance recoverables form part of Solvency II Technical Provisions, details of the valuation approach and differences to the statutory accounts basis are set out in Section D.2.

Under FRS 102 the recoveries, and amounts payable to the reinsurer, are not recognised as the contract contains rights of set off. A withheld funds account is used to record the balance held on behalf of the reinsurer (See Section D.3.1), and at the commutation of the contract any remaining amounts within the account would be settled.

Insurance and Intermediary Receivables

Under Solvency II this balance relates to amounts due for payment by policyholders, intermediaries and other third parties linked to insurance business. The valuation is based on the outstanding amount owed to the business at the end of the reporting period, less appropriate allowance for estimated irrecoverable amounts.

All insurance and intermediary receivables are annual and therefore, as they are recoverable within one year, are deemed to be short term in nature. As such, the carrying value approximates fair value and there are no material valuation differences between UK GAAP and Solvency II for the amounts recognised as receivables.

Receivables (Trade, not Insurance)

This category includes any non-insurance amounts that are receivable from business partners. This includes commissions due from aggregators, brokers and other outsourcing partners. For Solvency II purposes fair value is deemed to be aligned to the UK GAAP valuation, as these receivables are short term in nature.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

This category includes cash held in bank accounts to meet short-term cash commitments, and cash held within investment accounts. There are no valuation differences for cash between Solvency II and UK GAAP.

D.1.2 Changes Made to the Recognition and Valuation Bases Used or Estimations During the Reporting Period

There are no changes to the recognition and valuation bases used or estimations from the previous period to report.

D.1.3 Assumptions and Judgements About the Future and Other Major Sources of Estimation Uncertainty

There are no significant assumptions and judgements or areas of uncertainty in the valuation of assets.

D.2 Technical Provisions

D.2.1 Value of Technical Provisions

Technical Provisions (TPs) represent the sum of Best Estimate liabilities and Risk Margin.

The value of Best Estimate liabilities equates to the estimated net outwards cash flows in respect of business earned to date (Claim Provisions) and business to be earned in future but for which Soteria has entered into a legal obligation with the customer (Premium Provisions). Since Soteria's last policy exposure expired in March 2022, Premium Provisions have been nil.

The Risk Margin is an additional provision prescribed by the regulator which insurance companies are required to hold in addition to the Best Estimate liabilities.

The table below shows a summary of the level of TPs by line of business at the end of 2025:

	Motor	Fire & other damage	General liability	Non-life annuities	Total
	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Best estimate liabilities (net)	59,096	10,595	12,181	31,727	113,599
Risk margin	4,658	936	-	285	5,879
Total technical provision (net)	63,754	11,531	12,181	32,012	119,478
Reinsurance recoverables	27,848	586	5,403	26,827	60,664
Total technical provision (gross)	91,602	12,117	17,584	58,839	180,142

A description of the bases, methods and main assumptions used to calculate the Technical Provisions is included below.

D.2.1.1 Claims Provisions

Claims Provisions relate to events that occurred on or before the reporting date and comprise all material future in and out going cash flows.

Claims Provisions are calculated by line of business and key claim type, by suitably qualified personnel, using a combination of recognised actuarial and statistical techniques in order to calculate the total cost of claims, which then form the main part of the provision.

These techniques include:

- Projecting historic numbers of claims, claims payments, recoveries and incurred data – Chain Ladder technique
- Average cost per claim methods are used for additional insight in certain areas
- Bornhuetter-Ferguson/Cape Cod techniques based on cost per policy, cost per claim and loss ratio
- Large value Motor claims are projected on an individual basis in order to calculate expected reinsurance recoveries

Extensive analysis of detailed claims data, including individual case estimates, is undertaken to derive patterns in claims costs. The most common method used to derive patterns is called the Chain Ladder method.

A judgement overlay based on individual claims analysis has been placed on large claims with historic savings not currently being observed.

Once an estimate of the future claims cost has been calculated, the timing of future cash payments is estimated. This is based on past claims payment experience. These future payments are then discounted using a discount rate prescribed by the PRA and adjusted to take into account the following items which are described in more detail below:

- Reinsurance claims
- Expenses
- Events not in data (ENID). For example, Latent claims.

Reinsurance – A provision is included to allow for the expected amount of reinsurance premium payable and is dependent upon the volumes of business written during the year of cover. The estimated premium will be in respect of exposure to claim events occurring on or before the balance sheet date. This provision is offset by the expected claims costs to be paid by the reinsurer.

Expenses – A provision is held for the expected expenses associated with settling the existing claims. These are made up of claims handling expenses and an allocation of other management and administration costs relating to the settlement of the outstanding claims costs using figures from the business plan on a claims' run-off approach.

ENID – There is a possibility that claims could arise in future from causes which are not yet known about. Scenarios have been created of possible events leading to ENID latent claims. Past examples are Asbestosis or Industrial deafness claims, where claims were made several years after the events.

High level assumptions underlying the Claims Provisions are agreed and signed off by senior management.

These include:

- In respect of existing Periodic Payment Order (PPO) cases, it is assumed that care costs will increase in line with expected earnings or price inflation as appropriate for each case and that an individual's life expectancy is in line with expert opinions (or the general population where these are not available). In addition, allowance is made for possible future PPO cases by assessing which claims are likely to result in PPO settlement and what would be the associated costs. Such costs are then discounted at the Risk Free Rate (plus Volatility Adjustment) in line with known PPO claims. The assumed probability of settling on a PPO basis makes allowance for the level of the Ogden discount rate.
- Inflation – in respect of calculating Gross Reserves, judgement is required as to whether future inflation of claims costs is in line with that implicit in the base data. Any difference is allowed for explicitly in the calculation of the undiscounted reserves. Likewise, explicit inflation is allowed for in deriving the reinsurance recoveries for Motor claims by indexing both the claims cost and reinsurance retention until settlement.
- Expenses – The level of expenses to be incurred in respect of the business included within the Claims Provisions needs to be allowed for until all such liabilities have been settled.
- Future Mesothelioma Notification Pattern - The reserve amount within the legacy liability business, particularly in respect of Mesothelioma, is highly sensitive to the assumptions made, but these are now fully reinsured, so there is no impact on the net reserves.

The Key Assumptions in the projection analysis carried out are as follows:

- The development factors selected for the Best Estimate projections reflect the mean expectation of future development.
- The groupings chosen by product and claims description code/payment code/peril code are broadly homogeneous.
- The development ratios in the data, after making appropriate allowance for known trends, will be repeated in future.
- No significant events occurred after the cut-off point of data.

D.2.1.2 Premium Provisions

Premium provisions are nil following the expiry of all live policies.

D.2.1.3 Risk Margin

Risk Margin is the additional amount of provision prescribed by the regulator which insurers are required to hold over and above the value of the Best Estimate liabilities. The Risk Margin is described in more detail in Section D.2.2.

D.2.2 Simplifications

A simplified approach has been taken to the calculation of the Risk Margin.

The Risk Margin is set to the cost of holding regulatory capital (at a prescribed cost of capital of 4% p.a.) while liabilities run off with the purpose of making the overall TPs equal to the amount that another company would require to take over and meet the insurance liabilities.

It is apportioned to Solvency II class of business according to the standalone initial SCR.

The calculation depends heavily on the mix of business. Higher risk business segments require a larger SCR, and this directly increases the Risk Margin, but there is prescribed diversification credit between classes of business. In addition, longer-tailed classes of business need to be supported by capital for longer and tend to add more to the Risk Margin. Consequently, the calculation is sensitive to the assumed run-off pattern for each segment of the business. The calculation includes an allowance for discounting and so is sensitive to the risk-free rate.

D.2.3 Uncertainty

The uncertainty existing within TPs is primarily due to the random nature of how claims develop and is impacted by both external and internal factors. For example, inflation may be higher or lower than expected or claims may be settled more quickly or slowly than anticipated. Assessment of the uncertainty of key assumptions through sensitivity testing of plausible alternative views gives management a clearer understanding of the key risks and provides an indication of the level of confidence in the reported reserves. Sensitivity analysis is performed to understand the effect of key inputs which include the most material assumptions. The results of the sensitivity analysis on the value of the TPs are shown below:

Uncertainty	Alternative view	Impact on net TPs £000
ASHE Index	Increase in the ASHE Index of 0.5% for both (a) known PPOs and (b) the impact on potential future PPO settlements.	3,800
Estimate of propensity of claims (both top 50 and IBNR) is uncertain	Allow for propensities to double. Net impact shown.	1,300

D.2.4 Analysis of Differences Between the Valuation of Technical Provisions on a Financial Reporting Basis (UK GAAP) and Valuation on a Solvency II UK Basis

The Solvency II claims provisions are closely aligned to the UK GAAP best estimate reserves, with the main exception being the change in discounting basis.

All provisions are discounted under Solvency II whereas under UK GAAP, most of the claims reserves are not discounted. PPO claims are discounted at a fixed rate assessed annually based on the investment return expected from assets backing these liabilities under UK GAAP, compared to the prescribed rates under Solvency II.

The following explains the movements between TPs held for UK GAAP reporting purposes and those for Solvency II purposes, as at the end of the reporting period. Where appropriate, values are shown for Motor (including the Motor liability, PPOs and Other motor insurance lines of business) and Non-Motor (mainly the Fire and other damage to property insurance and General Liability lines of business).

Net UK GAAP reserves are the UK GAAP Insurance Contract Liabilities as shown in the balance sheet at the beginning of Section D (£190,346k), less reinsurance recoverables (£90,392k). The following table shows the movement from UK GAAP Insurer Contract Liabilities to Net UK GAAP reserves, then the adjustments made to move to a Solvency II basis.

	Motor	Non-Motor	Non-Life Annuities	Total
	£000	£000	£000	£000
UK GAAP Insurer Contract Liabilities	156,514	33,832	-	190,346
Reinsurance recoverables	(65,673)	(24,719)	-	(90,392)
UK GAAP Net TPs	90,841	9,113	-	99,954
Claims outstanding and insurance receivables reclass	(895)	(442)	-	(1,337)
Cancel LPT funds withheld	-	17,521	-	17,521
Include Quota Share claims	(14,322)	(1,176)	-	(15,498)
Remove claims settlement reserve	(747)	-	-	(747)
Inflation on potential PPOs	16,184	-	-	16,184
Inflation on settled PPOs	39,546	-	-	39,546
PPOs moved to Life	(70,956)	-	70,956	-
ENIDs	972	185	833	1,990
Expenses	13,280	4,096	-	17,376
Quota Share premium	11,638	672	-	12,310
Future premium receivables	(379)	(123)	-	(502)
SII discounting	(24,990)	(5,942)	(40,062)	(70,994)
Opening GAAP QS PS reversed under SII	4,140	-	-	4,140
Default adjustment	100	3	-	103
Remove management margin and replace with risk margin	(658)	(195)	285	(568)
Solvency II Net TPs	63,754	23,712	32,012	119,478

The Solvency II Net TPs are as shown in Section D.2.1.

D.2.5 Matching Adjustment

Soteria does not apply a Matching Adjustment.

D.2.6 Volatility Adjustment

A Volatility Adjustment has been used (as approved by the PRA) to discount all future cash flows in respect of the Claims Provisions and leads to an increase in solvency coverage of £2,129k (8%).

The following table sets out the impact on Own Funds, Risk Margin and SCR, further information on which is included in Section E.2.2.

	31 December 2025	31 December 2024	Movement
	£000	£000	£000
Eligible Own Funds before Volatility Adjustment	61,263	77,084	(15,821)
Effect of Volatility Adjustment	1,986	2,065	(79)
Eligible Own Funds	<u>63,249</u>	<u>79,149</u>	<u>(15,900)</u>
SCR before Volatility Adjustment	28,527	35,034	(6,507)
Effect of Volatility Adjustment	(143)	(216)	73
SCR	<u>28,384</u>	<u>34,818</u>	<u>(6,434)</u>
Solvency Coverage Ratio before Volatility Adjustment	215%	220%	(5)%
Solvency Coverage Ratio	<u>223%</u>	<u>227%</u>	<u>(4)%</u>
Solvency Coverage	<u>34,865</u>	<u>44,331</u>	<u>(9,466)</u>

D.2.7 Transitional Interest Rate

Soteria has not applied the transitional risk-free interest rate.

D.2.8 Transitional Deduction

Soteria has not applied the transitional deduction to the TPs.

D.2.9 Impact of Reinsurance and Special Purpose Vehicles

Soteria has a number of different reinsurance arrangements in place. The main ones are:

- The Motor XoL Risk programme covers large individual motor losses.
- There is a quota share arrangement whereby a proportion of the net premium earned during 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020 on the combined motor and home business is ceded. The quota share arrangement applies after other reinsurance covers.

Soteria does not use Special Purpose Vehicles.

D.2.10 Material Changes in Assumptions from Previous Reporting Period

Reserving assumptions and methodologies are reviewed regularly. A number of refinements have been made across the year, but none of these changes have been material from the calculation of Technical Provisions as at 31 December 2024.

D.3 Other Liabilities

D.3.1 Valuation Bases and Assumptions

Details of Soteria's liabilities, other than Technical Provisions (see Section D.2), including the valuation bases and main assumptions used (where applicable) are shown below by material class of liability.

Provisions Other Than Technical Provisions

This category relates to liabilities of uncertain timing or amount. Provisions are recognised when there is a present legal or constructive obligation as a result of past events and it is probable that an outflow of resources will be required to settle the obligation. Valuation is at fair value based on the best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the present obligation at the balance sheet date.

Insurance and Intermediaries Payable

This balance comprises commission payable on premiums not yet received and premiums collected in advance of the due date. These balances are short term in nature and therefore reflect the current market value in line with the Solvency II valuation hierarchy.

Reinsurance Payables

As explained in Section D.1.1 above, contracts with reinsurers that give rise to a significant transfer of insurance risk are accounted for as reinsurance contracts. The Company has three main reinsurance arrangements: Motor and Catastrophe Excess of Loss (XoL) programmes and, for accident years from 2017 to 2020, a quota share. Under UK GAAP the reinsurance payables and receivables under XoL are recognised on the balance sheet gross while the quota share is presented net.

The Technical Provisions in the Solvency II balance sheet include all amounts payable and receivable under the XoL programme, however the quota share funds withheld balance is not included as a component of those provisions. It is recognised as a separate liability of £12,310k (2024: £8,973k), outside of the Technical Provisions, as it is not expected to be paid to the reinsurer. The amounts are recorded at their contractual value.

Payables (Trade, not Insurance)

This balance relates to liabilities due to suppliers which are not insurance related. These balances are short term in nature and therefore reflect the current market value in line with the Solvency II valuation hierarchy.

Deferred Tax Liabilities

Details regarding deferred tax liabilities are set out in Section D.1.1.

Contingent Liabilities

As disclosed in Notes 28 and 29 of Soteria's 2025 Annual Report and Accounts, the Company had no contingent liabilities as at 31 December 2025.

Under Solvency II, contingent liabilities are required to be recognised and measured at their expected present value, calculated using a probability-weighted cash flow approach. In contrast, under UK GAAP, a contingent liability is disclosed in the notes to the accounts but not recognised on the balance sheet, unless an outflow of resources becomes probable.

Other Liabilities not shown elsewhere

This is a category for all liabilities not captured elsewhere. The balance is predominantly UK GAAP expense accruals, which are short term in nature and therefore reflect a market price valuation in line with the Solvency II valuation hierarchy.

D.3.2 Changes Made to the Recognition and Valuation Bases Used or Estimations During the Reporting Period

There are no changes to the recognition and valuation bases used or estimations from the previous period to report.

D.3.3 Assumptions and Judgements About the Future and Other Major Sources of Estimation Uncertainty

There are no significant assumptions and judgements or areas of uncertainty in the valuation of other liabilities.

D.3.4 Expected Timing of any Outflows of Economic Benefits

The expected timing of cash outflows relating to contingent liabilities is unknown, owing to their nature. However, there are currently no material contingent liabilities. Debts owed to credit institutions, insurance and intermediaries payable and other liabilities are settled throughout the year with all such balances due in less than one year.

D.3.5 Analysis of Differences Between the Valuation of Other Liabilities on a Financial Reporting Basis (UK GAAP) and Valuation on a Solvency II UK Basis

A quantitative view of material differences between the valuation of other liabilities on a Financial Reporting basis and valuation on a Solvency II basis is shown in the balance sheet at the beginning of Section D. Differences between these bases relating to other liabilities are described below:

- Reinsurance payables – the withheld funds balance arising from the 'Right of Offset' within the quota share reinsurance arrangement is recognised on an accruals basis and reported within Reinsurance Recoverables under UK GAAP. On a Solvency II basis, the withheld funds are deemed to be a non-insurance cashflow and are reported within Reinsurance Payables on the balance sheet.

D.4 Alternative Methods for Valuation

Under Solvency II, assets and liabilities are required to be valued at the amount for which they could be exchanged between knowledgeable and willing parties in an arms length transaction. The regulatory framework establishes a valuation hierarchy, reflecting the degree of reliance on market inputs and permitting the use of alternative methods for valuation where necessary.

The preferred approach is to use quoted prices from active markets. However, where such prices are not available or not considered representative, a valuation approach must be taken that aligns with Solvency II's underlying market-consistent valuation basis.

Some of the Company's holdings in equities and the real-estate backed lending cannot be valued at prices derived from inputs that are observable for the asset. These assets are as disclosed as level 3 financial instruments in Note 32 to Soteria's 2025 Annual Report and Accounts. Where this is the case, these are valued initially at fair value, being purchase price on the date on which Soteria commits to purchase. Directly attributable transaction costs are expensed immediately on recognition. Subsequent valuation is fair value, using the effective interest rate method, which is considered the most appropriate approach for these asset types.

Managers may use the following valuation techniques to calculate the fair value of credit assets:

- Contractual cashflows from the credit asset are projected forwards to their expected payment date;
- The probability of default, loss given default and exposure at default are used to project expected credit losses; and
- The cash flows, net of expected credit losses, are discounted back to their present value using the appropriate market discount rate at the reporting date.

The discount rate can depend on the following factors:

- Risk free interest rates and other similar benchmark interest rates;
- Prevailing credit spreads for the given type of asset;
- Currency; and
- Duration.

The selection of discount rate needs to be considered carefully because some of the above items are easily observable (e.g. the risk free rate) and others are unobservable (e.g. prevailing credit spreads). In some case the observable and unobservable factors are inversely correlated so as one increases the other reduces. Managers may generally calculate the implied discount rate at initial recognition and make adjustment to this for known changes at the reporting date. A Valuation Committee at each of the sub-fund managers holding the investments is responsible for approving the appropriate discount rate to use in the fair value calculations and will take into consideration the above requirements along with any changes in reference rates that are deemed material. The valuations are subject to regular independent review.

D.5 Any Other Information

Going concern

The SFCR is prepared on a going concern basis and the Directors are satisfied that Soteria has the resources to continue in business for at least the period from the date of approval of the financial statements up to 31 December 2027. In making this assessment, the Directors have performed a detailed analysis of future capital and liquidity.

The going concern assessment performed takes into account that the Company continues as a going concern as, during the run-off period, the Company operates its business of administration and settlement of outstanding claims. Projections take into account the recognition of all future expenses within technical provisions at the point Soteria went into run-off. Soteria's ability to continue as a going concern has been considered by reference to its projected coverage of regulatory and economic capital requirements, its liquidity and its resilience to withstand foreseeable stress scenarios.

In the central forecast case, solvency projections show the Economic Capital Requirement (ECR) coverage is set to remain above 140% throughout the forecast period. Liquidity projections assess expected liquid assets (cash, money market funds and UK gilts) and stressed investments (the value of investments in a stressed scenario after applying a haircut, which considers the type of asset and maturity). Both projected liquid investments and stressed investments are expected to be in excess of the Board's risk appetite throughout the period of review.

The Directors have then considered the potential impact of various stresses including, but not limited to, adverse claims experience and a fall in the market value of assets. In all cases, solvency coverage remains above 100%. In each scenario, Soteria has sufficient liquidity to pay liabilities as they fall due. Even in the event of an extreme stress scenario (such as an inflationary uplift on outstanding claims or the failure of a key reinsurer or outsourcing partner), it is projected that Soteria would likely be able to meet the majority of payments solely from existing cash holdings and investment maturities, with only modest reliance on additional income from the sale of highly liquid gilts or highly rated corporate bonds.

The Directors have also considered a reverse stress test, which involves several of the stress scenarios happening concurrently, and is therefore extremely unlikely. In this scenario, the SCR and ECR coverage ratio is projected to fall below 100%, even if no future dividends are paid, however, the coverage ratios would naturally recover over time as investment returns were received. In this scenario, Soteria's assets continue to exceed its liabilities and it would still hold sufficient liquid investments to be able to meet all of its liabilities as they fall due.

E. Capital Management

E.1 Own Funds

E.1.1 Objectives, Policies and Processes for Managing Own Funds

E.1.1.1 Background and Objectives

Own Funds represent Soteria's available financial resources ('capital') under the Solvency II regime which can serve as a buffer against risks and absorb financial losses.

Soteria's strategy in respect of capital management is to maintain financial strength by ensuring that the following objectives are met:

- There is sufficient capital to meet all regulatory requirements – i.e. the value of Own Funds is greater than the Solvency Capital Requirement (see Section E.2) and will continue to be so throughout the business planning period.
- There is sufficient capital ("solvency coverage") to meet internal Board agreed thresholds which are above regulatory requirements. This ensures that policyholders are protected and also that the Board's risk appetite is met.
- Subject to the above objectives being met, capital is distributed to its parent undertaking.

The policies and processes employed by Soteria are designed to benefit policyholder protection by giving management an accurate understanding of the amount and quality of Soteria's Own Funds. This helps Soteria to ensure that sufficient Own Funds are held to absorb unexpected losses and maintain solvency. This is a key focus in Soteria's business planning.

E.1.1.2 Policies and Processes

The Board sets capital risk appetite, which defines how much additional capital the Company should hold over and above its regulatory capital requirement. This coverage in excess of 100% provides an additional cushion beyond the regulatory capital requirement, providing additional security for policyholders by ensuring that Soteria can continue to meet its obligations under a severe adverse scenario.

Soteria has maintained capital above all its regulatory requirements throughout the period. The Company has also maintained sufficient capital to meet the Board's capital risk appetite that was in force.

Soteria reviews solvency regularly, with reports provided to the Board periodically, and more frequent monitoring of key components. In the event that Soteria falls below its risk appetite, it would be possible to reduce capital requirements by executing actions that reduce risk albeit often resulting in reduced returns.

E.1.2 Analysis of Own Funds by Tier

Under Solvency II regulations the excess of assets (other than deferred tax assets) over liabilities is classified as unrestricted Tier 1 capital. The table below shows Own Funds by tier and the amount of eligible Own Funds versus the SCR and MCR at the end of the reporting period.

	2025			2024			
	Tier 1 unrestricted £000	Tier 1 restricted £000	Tier 2 £000	Tier 3 £000	Total £000	Total £000	Movement £000
Ordinary share capital	38,000	-	-	-	38,000	38,000	-
Reconciliation reserve	25,249	-	-	-	25,249	41,149	(15,900)
Total basic own funds after deductions	63,249	-	-	-	63,249	79,149	(15,900)
Total available own funds to meet the SCR	63,249	-	-	-	63,249	79,149	(15,900)
Total available own funds to meet the MCR	63,249	-	-	-	63,249	79,149	(15,900)
Total eligible own funds to meet the SCR	63,249	-	-	-	63,249	79,149	(15,900)
Total eligible own funds to meet the MCR	63,249	-	-	-	63,249	79,149	(15,900)
Solo SCR					28,384	34,818	(6,434)
Solo MCR					9,979	9,024	955
Ratio of eligible own funds to SCR					223%	227%	(4)%
Ratio of eligible own funds to MCR					634%	877%	(243)%

E.1.2.1 Tier 1

Share Capital

Soteria has 38 million £1 shares in issue which have attached to them full voting, dividend and capital distribution rights. The shares do not confer any rights of redemption. All the shares constitute a single class of ordinary share.

As at 31 December 2025, all Soteria shares were held by Saturn.

Reconciliation Reserve

The reconciliation reserve is derived by taking the excess of assets over liabilities from the balance sheet and reducing it by the value of ordinary share capital and share premium. It also includes a restriction for foreseeable dividends as at the balance sheet date. As such, it includes the accumulated profits of the Company on a Solvency II basis.

The reconciliation reserve is calculated as follows:

	£000
Excess of assets over liabilities	93,249
Less:	
Share capital	(38,000)
Foreseeable dividends	(30,000)
Reconciliation reserve	<u>25,249</u>

The value of the reconciliation reserve is directly related to the value of the excess of assets over liabilities and is therefore subject to potential volatility of those assets and liabilities. Regular Solvency II balance sheet forecasting is undertaken to monitor the expected future value of assets and liabilities. Where risks are identified, management actions are undertaken to mitigate any impact on solvency coverage.

E.1.2.2 Tier 2

Soteria does not have any Tier 2 capital.

E.1.2.3 Tier 3

Deferred Tax

A deferred tax asset is recognised when future taxable profits are deemed available within the planning horizon to utilise the asset. The deferred tax asset recognised by Soteria as detailed in Section D.1.1 is offset by the deferred tax liability and therefore a net £nil deferred tax asset is reported at 31 December 2025.

E.1.2.4 Changes in Own Funds by Tier Over the Reporting Period

	Total	Tier 1	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
	£000	unrestricted	restricted	£000	£000
		£000	£000		
Available own funds in previous year	79,149	79,149	-	-	-
Movement in reconciliation reserve:					
Movement in UK GAAP reserves	(4,994)	(4,994)	-	-	-
Change in valuation differences between UK GAAP and Solvency II	(10,906)	(10,906)	-	-	-
Available own funds in current year	<u>63,249</u>	<u>63,249</u>	-	-	-

Soteria's available own funds have reduced by £15,900k between 31 December 2024 and 31 December 2025. This reflects the impact of a £30,000k foreseeable dividend together with £15,000k of dividends paid during the year, partially offset by profits generated in the period.

In accordance with Solvency II rules, the £30,000k dividend proposed by the Board in December 2025 is treated as a foreseeable dividend and deducted from Own Funds at the point it becomes foreseeable, whereas under UK GAAP the dividend will only be recognised as a liability when paid in 2026.

E.1.3 Other Information in Relation to Own Funds

E.1.3.1 Loss Absorbency Mechanisms

Soteria does not have any Own Funds relating to paid-in subordinated mutual member accounts, paid-in preference shares and the related share premium account or paid-in subordinated liabilities included in Tier 1 capital, and therefore disclosure of loss absorbency mechanisms is not required.

E.1.3.2 Total Equity Under UK GAAP versus Basic Own Funds Under Solvency II

	£000
Total equity per Annual Report and Accounts	96,478
Difference in valuation of assets and liabilities	(3,229)
Foreseeable dividends	(30,000)
Basic Own Funds	63,249

Differences in valuation of assets and liabilities between UK GAAP and Solvency II are described in Sections D.1 (Assets), D.2 (Technical Provisions) and D.3 (Other Liabilities). The adjustments described have the effect of reducing the value of Own Funds by the same value as the reduction in net assets, being £3,229k.

E.1.3.3 Transitional Arrangements

Transitional arrangements, lasting a maximum of ten years from 1 January 2016, have been introduced to the Solvency II regime to provide a smooth transition between Solvency I and Solvency II requirements. The Company does not have any Basic Own Fund items that are subject to transitional arrangements.

E.1.3.4 Ancillary Own Funds

The Company does not have any Ancillary Own Funds.

E.1.3.5 Items Deducted from Own Funds

No items have been deducted from Own Funds.

E.2 Solvency Capital Requirement and Minimum Capital Requirement

Under the Solvency II regime, there are two prescribed levels of regulatory capital: the Solvency Capital Requirement ('SCR') and the Minimum Capital Requirement ('MCR'). The SCR represents the level of Own Funds that an insurer is required to hold in order to ensure that it can absorb losses associated with a severe stress event and continue to meet its obligations to policyholders over the following 12 months. It is a risk-based measure reflecting the specific risk profile of the Company. The MCR represents a lower regulatory threshold, calculated using a simpler methodology, that establishes a minimum level of capital below which policyholder protection is considered to be seriously compromised. A breach of either capital requirement would trigger differing degrees of supervisory intervention.

E.2.1 Minimum Capital Requirement

The MCR is calculated using a prescribed formula that applies set factors to net technical provisions (excluding risk margin) and the previous 12 months' net written premiums. It is then subject to a cap of 45% and a floor of 25% of the SCR, together with an absolute floor of £3,500k.

E.2.2 Solvency Capital Requirement

There are two methods under Solvency II for calculating the SCR. The SCR may be calculated using either the Standard Formula as prescribed by the PRA Rules or an internal model developed by the insurer, and approved by the PRA, that appropriately reflects the risk profile of the firm. The Standard Formula is designed to be applicable to a wide range of insurers. However, where the regulator believes that the calculated SCR does not adequately capture the risk of the particular business, it has the power to impose a 'capital add-on' to be included within the Standard Formula SCR. Soteria uses the Standard Formula approach to calculate the SCR. As required by the PRA Rules, assessment is performed by the Company as part of the Own Risk and Solvency Assessment, to confirm that the Standard Formula remains appropriate for its risk profile.

The table below shows a breakdown of the components of the SCR as at 31 December 2025. Section E.2.3 provides further detail on each risk.

SCR	At 31 December 2025 £000	At 31 December 2024 £000	Movement £000
Premium risk	-	-	-
Reserve risk	14,466	21,915	(7,449)
Diversification	-	-	-
Total Premium & Reserve risk after diversification	14,466	21,915	(7,449)
Catastrophe risk	-	-	-
Diversification	-	-	-
Non-life underwriting risk	14,466	21,915	(7,449)
Market risk	11,633	10,928	705
Counterparty default risk	6,094	6,270	(176)
Life underwriting risk	1,712	1,746	(34)
Operational risk	3,735	4,021	(286)
Diversification	(9,256)	(10,062)	806
SCR	28,384	34,818	(6,434)

E.2.3 Movement in MCR and the SCR over the Reporting Period

At the end of the reporting period, Soteria's SCR is £28,384k (2024: £34,818k), a decrease of £6,434k since 31 December 2024, reflecting a decrease in non-life underwriting risk. More detailed explanations of the change in SCR over the year are described below.

At the end of the reporting period, Soteria's MCR is £9,979k (2024: £9,024k), an increase of £955k since 31 December 2024, which reflects the run-off of the business.

E.2.3.1 Premium Risk

As the Company no longer has any active policies, it is no longer subject to premium risk, which relates to policies that would be earned over the coming 12 months.

E.2.3.2 Reserve Risk

Reserve risk arises from losses from the past. Insurers hold reserves to cover these losses (claims provisions), but the amount that claims will eventually settle for, and how many more claims are still to be reported, are uncertain. The risk is that claims payments exceed the current level of reserves, so the claims provisions (net of reinsurance) are used as a key input for the reserve risk.

E.2.3.3 Catastrophe Risk

Catastrophe risk arises from extreme events such as major windstorms and large fires. It is divided into natural and man-made catastrophe risks: Natural catastrophe events such as windstorms which result in home damage and floods which cause both home and motor damage. Man-made catastrophe events arise from motor liability and fire risks. As the Company **no longer has any active policies, it is no longer subject to catastrophe risk.**

E.2.3.4 Lapse Risk

Lapse risk is the risk that the Company makes less profit because of customers cancelling existing policies or not taking out policies that the Company has committed to write. As the Company no longer has any active policies, it is no longer subject to lapse risk.

E.2.3.5 Market Risk

The Company is exposed to the following Standard Formula market risks based on the portfolio at end 2025:

- Interest rate risk, which is the risk that the value of an asset or liability will change owing to a change in interest rates. Interest rate risk is calculated by determining the impact on the balance sheet of either increasing or decreasing interest rates. The higher of the two impacts is the interest rate risk.
- Spread risk, which is the risk that a widening of credit-spreads reduces the value of assets.
- Concentration risk, which is the risk of exposure to individual investment counterparties.
- Equity risk, which arises from the level of volatility of market prices for equities.

The Company's investment portfolio is mostly focused on higher rated corporate bonds, gilts and cash. However, it does invest in some higher-yielding assets to back long-tailed liabilities (primarily those arising from Periodical Payment Orders (PPOs). In

particular, the Company invests in collective investments, which include funds of European asset-backed credit, global credit, equities and real-estate backed lending. Investments are denominated in sterling, US Dollars and Euros, with currency hedges held against foreign exchange fluctuations.

E.2.3.6 Counterparty Default Risk

Counterparty default risk relates to the losses arising when reinsurers and other debtors (counterparties) fail to pay what they owe (default). These counterparties include reinsurers, banks with which cash is deposited and trade receivables.

E.2.3.7 Life Underwriting Risk

Life risk is the risk associated with life insurance obligations. In the case of the Company, this relates to annuities stemming from the non-life insurance obligations, i.e. PPOs. The most material risk is longevity risk where payments may be paid for a longer period than previously expected. Longevity risk has remained broadly level over the period.

E.2.3.8 Operational Risk

Operational risk is the risk of loss arising from inadequate and failed internal processes, or from people and systems, or from external events. Operational risk is based on gross technical provisions and gross earned premiums in the last 24 months. These are used to measure the size of the business because the greater the size of the business, the more operational risk it is exposed to.

E.2.3.9 Loss-absorbing Capacity of Deferred Tax

As Soteria has no deferred tax liabilities, there is no adjustment made for the loss-absorbing capacity of deferred tax.

E.2.4 Simplifications and Undertaking-specific Parameters

Solvency II regulations permit the use of specified simplifications within the standard formula, where this is considered proportionate to the nature, scale and complexity of the risks to which the entity is exposed.

The Company does not use any simplifications or undertaking-specific parameters in the calculation of its SCR.

E.2.5 Capital Add-ons

The Company does not have any capital add-ons at either 31 December 2025 or 31 December 2024.

E.3 Use of the Duration-based Equity Risk Sub-module in the Calculation of the SCR

The PRA does not permit the use of this module.

E.4 Differences Between the Standard Formula and any Internal Model Used

The Company uses the Standard Formula to calculate the SCR.

E.5 Non-compliance with the MCR and Non-compliance with the SCR

E.5.1 Non-compliance with the MCR

Non-compliance with the MCR occurs when the value of eligible Own Funds falls below the MCR. As at 31 December 2025, Soteria is in compliance with MCR coverage of 634% (2024: 877%).

E.5.2 Non-compliance with the SCR

Non-compliance with the SCR occurs when the value of eligible own funds falls below the SCR. As at 31 December 2025, Soteria is in compliance with SCR coverage of 223% (2024: 227%).

E.6 Any Other Information

No additional information is required to be disclosed.

Appendix 1: Quantitative Reporting Templates (QRTs)

Soteria Insurance Limited

Solvency and Financial Condition Report

Disclosures

31 December

2025

(Monetary amounts in GBP thousands)

General information

Entity name	Soteria Insurance Limited
Entity identification code and type of code	LEI/213800239LP2JJ1CF649
Type of undertaking	Non-life undertakings
Country of incorporation	GB
Language of reporting	en
Reporting reference date	31 December 2025
Currency used for reporting	GBP
Accounting standards	Local GAAP
Method of Calculation of the SCR	Standard formula
Matching adjustment	No use of matching adjustment
Volatility adjustment	Use of volatility adjustment
Transitional measure on the risk-free interest rate	No use of transitional measure on the risk-free interest rate
Transitional measure on technical provisions	No use of transitional measure on technical provisions

List of reported templates

-

IR.02.01.02 - Balance sheet

IR.05.02.01 - Premiums, claims and expenses by country: Non-life obligations

IR.05.04.02 - Non-life income and expenditure : reporting period

IR.12.01.02 - Life technical provisions

IR.17.01.02 - Non-Life Technical Provisions

IR.19.01.21 - Non-Life insurance claims

IR.22.01.21 - Impact of long term guarantees measures and transitionals

IR.23.01.01 - Own Funds

IR.25.04.21 - Solvency Capital Requirement

IR.28.01.01 - Minimum Capital Requirement - Only life or only non-life insurance or reinsurance activity

IR.02.01.02

Balance sheet

		Solvency II value
		C0010
Assets		
R0030	Intangible assets	
R0040	Deferred tax assets	
R0050	Pension benefit surplus	
R0060	Property, plant & equipment held for own use	0
R0070	Investments (other than assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts)	117,325
R0080	<i>Property (other than for own use)</i>	0
R0090	<i>Holdings in related undertakings, including participations</i>	0
R0100	<i>Equities</i>	3,117
R0110	<i>Equities - listed</i>	
R0120	<i>Equities - unlisted</i>	3,117
R0130	<i>Bonds</i>	96,649
R0140	<i>Government Bonds</i>	23,989
R0150	<i>Corporate Bonds</i>	72,661
R0160	<i>Structured notes</i>	0
R0170	<i>Collateralised securities</i>	0
R0180	<i>Collective Investments Undertakings</i>	17,385
R0190	<i>Derivatives</i>	174
R0200	<i>Deposits other than cash equivalents</i>	0
R0210	<i>Other investments</i>	0
R0220	Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts	
R0230	Loans and mortgages	21,365
R0240	<i>Loans on policies</i>	0
R0250	<i>Loans and mortgages to individuals</i>	
R0260	<i>Other loans and mortgages</i>	21,365
R0270	Reinsurance recoverables from:	60,664
R0280	<i>Non-life and health similar to non-life</i>	33,837
R0315	<i>Life and health similar to life, excluding index-linked and unit-linked</i>	26,827
R0340	<i>Life index-linked and unit-linked</i>	0
R0350	Deposits to cedants	0
R0360	Insurance and intermediaries receivables	
R0370	Reinsurance receivables	679
R0380	Receivables (trade, not insurance)	10,385
R0390	Own shares (held directly)	
R0400	Amounts due in respect of own fund items or initial fund called up but not yet paid in	0
R0410	Cash and cash equivalents	67,944
R0420	Any other assets, not elsewhere shown	
R0500	Total assets	278,362

		Solvency II value
		C0010
Liabilities		
R0505	Technical provisions - total	180,142
R0510	<i>Technical provisions - non-life</i>	121,303
R0515	<i>Technical provisions - life</i>	58,839
R0542	Best estimate - total	174,264
R0544	<i>Best estimate - non-life</i>	115,710
R0546	<i>Best estimate - life</i>	58,554
R0552	Risk margin - total	5,879
R0554	<i>Risk margin - non-life</i>	5,594
R0556	<i>Risk margin - life</i>	285
R0565	Transitional (TMTP) - life	0
R0740	Contingent liabilities	
R0750	Provisions other than technical provisions	
R0760	Pension benefit obligations	
R0770	Deposits from reinsurers	
R0780	Deferred tax liabilities	
R0790	Derivatives	2
R0800	Debts owed to credit institutions	
R0810	Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions	
R0820	Insurance & intermediaries payables	1,822
R0830	Reinsurance payables	12
R0840	Payables (trade, not insurance)	252
R0850	Subordinated liabilities	0
R0860	<i>Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own Funds</i>	
R0870	<i>Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own Funds</i>	0
R0880	Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	2,883
R0900	Total liabilities	185,113
R1000	Excess of assets over liabilities	93,249

IR.05.02.01

Premiums, claims and expenses by country: Non-life obligations

	C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070
	Home Country	Top 5 countries (by amount of gross premiums written)					Total Top 5 and home country
	C0080	C0090	C0100	C0110	C0120	C0130	C0140
R0010							
	Premiums written						
R0110	Gross - Direct Business	-17					-17
R0120	Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted						0
R0130	Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted						0
R0140	Reinsurers' share	17,447					17,447
R0200	Net	-17,464					-17,464
	Premiums earned						
R0210	Gross - Direct Business	-17					-17
R0220	Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted						0
R0230	Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted						0
R0240	Reinsurers' share	17,447					17,447
R0300	Net	-17,464					-17,464
	Claims incurred						
R0310	Gross - Direct Business	18,919					18,919
R0320	Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted						0
R0330	Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted						0
R0340	Reinsurers' share	44,201					44,201
R0400	Net	-25,283					-25,283
R0550	Net expenses incurred	7,915					7,915

IR.12.01.02
Life technical provisions

Best estimate

R0025 Gross Best Estimate (direct business)
R0026 Gross Best Estimate (reinsurance accepted)
R0030 **Gross Best Estimate**

R0080 Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default
R0090 Best estimate minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re

R0100 **Risk margin**

Amount of the transitional on Technical Provisions

R0140 TMTP - risk margin
R0150 TMTP - best estimate dynamic component
R0160 TMTP - best estimate non-dynamic component
R0170 TMTP - amortisation adjustment
R0180 **Transitional Measure on Technical Provisions**

R0200 **Technical provisions - total**

Insurance with profit participation	Index-linked and unit-linked insurance	Life annuities	Non-life annuities	Other life insurance	Health insurance	Total life and health
C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070
			58,554			58,554
						0
0	0	0	58,554	0	0	58,554
			26,827			26,827
0	0	0	31,727	0	0	31,727
			285			285
						0
						0
						0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	58,839	0	0	58,839

IR.17.01.02
Non-Life Technical Provisions

	Direct business and accepted proportional reinsurance											Accepted non-proportional reinsurance				Total Non-Life obligation	
	Medical expense insurance	Income protection insurance	Workers' compensation insurance	Motor vehicle liability insurance	Other motor insurance	Marine, aviation and transport insurance	Fire and other damage to property insurance	General liability insurance	Credit and suretyship insurance	Legal expenses insurance	Assistance	Miscellaneous financial loss	Non-proportional health reinsurance	Non-proportional casualty reinsurance	Non-proportional marine, aviation and transport reinsurance		Non-proportional property reinsurance
	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070	C0080	C0090	C0100	C0110	C0120	C0130	C0140	C0150	C0160	C0170	C0180
Best estimate																	
Premium provisions																	
R0060 Gross				0	0		0	0		0	0	0		0		0	0
R0140 Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finitte Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default				-9,471	7		-626	-12,181									-22,272
R0150 Net Best Estimate of Premium Provisions				9,471	-7		626	12,181		0	0	0		0		0	22,272
Claims provisions																	
R0160 Gross				87,038	-94		11,181	17,584		0	0	0		0		0	115,710
R0240 Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finitte Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default				37,314	-2		1,212	17,584									56,108
R0250 Net Best Estimate of Claims Provisions				49,724	-92		9,969	0		0	0	0		0		0	59,601
R0260 Total best estimate - gross				87,038	-94		11,181	17,584									115,710
R0270 Total best estimate - net				59,196	-99		10,595	12,181		0	0	0		0		0	81,873
R0280 Risk margin				4,658	0		936	0									5,594
R0320 Technical provisions - total				91,696	-94		12,117	17,584		0	0	0		0		0	121,303
R0330 Recoverable from reinsurance contract/SPV and Finitte Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default - total				27,843	5		586	5,403		0	0	0		0		0	33,837
R0340 Technical provisions minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finitte Re - total				63,853	-99		11,531	12,181		0	0	0		0		0	87,467

IR.19.01.21

Non-Life insurance claims

Total Non-life business

Z0020

Accident year / underwriting year

Gross Claims Paid (non-cumulative)														
(absolute amount)														
Year	C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	Development year						C0110	C0170	C0180	
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 & +	In Current year	Sum of years (cumulative)	
R0100	Prior											1,888	1,888	
R0160	-9	141,050	87,395	29,394	17,218	15,651	4,262	2,674	613	955	357	357	299,569	
R0170	-8	154,202	82,917	22,769	15,685	14,635	6,527	7,026	5,775	499		499	310,036	
R0180	-7	180,619	86,225	28,322	22,360	11,604	12,466	5,978	982			982	348,556	
R0190	-6	186,532	83,961	24,431	27,598	22,914	15,220	4,109				4,109	364,763	
R0200	-5	135,799	55,135	18,655	12,202	9,500	8,748					8,748	240,039	
R0210	-4	55,129	18,928	6,725	7,018	3,630						3,630	91,430	
R0220	-3	0	0	0	0							0	0	
R0230	-2	0	0	0								0	0	
R0240	-1	0	0									0	0	
R0250	0	0										0	0	
R0260												Total	20,213	1,656,281

Gross Undiscounted Best Estimate Claims Provisions													
(absolute amount)													
Year	C0200	C0210	C0220	C0230	Development year						C0300	C0360	
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 & +	Year end (discounted data)	
R0100	Prior											68,615	38,375
R0160	-9	194,067	129,144	77,563	47,409	38,266	22,149	15,847	14,812	14,019	31,431		15,171
R0170	-8	176,053	76,673	48,856	35,388	21,602	15,329	11,112	1,479	441			420
R0180	-7	178,870	75,939	49,625	37,486	38,979	26,391	20,143	15,582				7,276
R0190	-6	182,355	95,430	79,728	64,825	36,696	13,848	3,369					3,167
R0200	-5	139,852	76,131	67,705	57,639	45,810	49,255						25,036
R0210	-4	61,247	36,362	30,685	22,724	11,762							10,947
R0220	-3	0	0	0	0								0
R0230	-2	0	0	0									0
R0240	-1	0	0										0
R0250	0	0											0
R0260												Total	100,393

Gross premium			
	C0570	C0580	
	Gross earned premium at reporting reference date	Estimate of future gross earned premium	
R0160	N-9	466,749	0
R0170	N-8	489,619	0
R0180	N-7	483,524	0
R0190	N-6	489,095	0
R0200	N-5	440,369	0
R0210	N-4	172,216	0
R0220	N-3	0	0
R0230	N-2	0	0
R0240	N-1	0	0
R0250	N	0	0

IR.22.01.21

Impact of long term guarantees measures and transitionals

	Amount with Long Term Guarantee measures and transitionals	Impact of transitional on technical provisions	Impact of transitional on interest rate	Impact of volatility adjustment set to zero	Impact of matching adjustment set to zero
	C0010	C0030	C0050	C0070	C0090
R0010 Technical provisions	180,142	0	0	3,833	0
R0020 Basic own funds	63,249	0	0	-1,986	0
R0050 Eligible own funds to meet Solvency Capital Requirement	63,249	0	0	-1,986	0
R0090 Solvency Capital Requirement	28,384	0	0	135	0
R0100 Eligible own funds to meet Minimum Capital Requirement	63,249	0	0	-1,986	0
R0110 Minimum Capital Requirement	9,979	0	0	172	0

IR.23.01.01

Own Funds

R0010	Ordinary share capital (gross of own shares)
R0030	Share premium account related to ordinary share capital
R0040	Initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own-fund item for mutual and mutual-type undertakings
R0050	Subordinated mutual member accounts
R0070	Surplus funds
R0090	Preference shares
R0110	Share premium account related to preference shares
R0130	Reconciliation reserve
R0140	Subordinated liabilities
R0160	An amount equal to the value of net deferred tax assets
R0180	Other own fund items approved by the supervisory authority as basic own funds not specified above

R0220 Own funds from the financial statements that should not be represented by the reconciliation reserve and do not meet the criteria to be classified as Solvency II own funds

R0290 Total basic own funds

Ancillary own funds

R0300	Unpaid and uncalled ordinary share capital callable on demand
R0310	Unpaid and uncalled initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own fund item for mutual and mutual - type undertakings, callable on demand
R0320	Unpaid and uncalled preference shares callable on demand
R0330	A legally binding commitment to subscribe and pay for subordinated liabilities on demand
R0340	Letters of credit and guarantees
R0350	Letters of credit and guarantees other
R0360	Supplementary members calls
R0370	Supplementary members calls - other
R0390	Other ancillary own funds
R0400	Total ancillary own funds

Available and eligible own funds

R0500	Total available own funds to meet the SCR
R0510	Total available own funds to meet the MCR
R0540	Total eligible own funds to meet the SCR
R0550	Total eligible own funds to meet the MCR

R0580	SCR
R0600	MCR
R0620	Ratio of Eligible own funds to SCR
R0640	Ratio of Eligible own funds to MCR

Reconciliation reserve

R0700	Excess of assets over liabilities
R0710	Own shares (held directly and indirectly)
R0720	Foreseeable dividends, distributions and charges
R0725	Deductions for participations in financial and credit institutions
R0730	Other basic own fund items
R0740	Adjustment for restricted own fund items in respect of matching adjustment portfolios and ring fenced funds
R0760	Reconciliation reserve

Total	Tier 1 unrestricted	Tier 1 restricted	Tier 2	Tier 3
C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050
38,000	38,000		0	
0	0		0	
0	0		0	
0		0	0	0
0	0			
0		0	0	0
0		0	0	0
25,249	25,249			
0		0	0	0
0				0
0	0	0	0	0
0				
63,249	63,249	0	0	0

0				
0				
0				
0				
0				
0				
0				
0				
0				
0			0	0

63,249	63,249	0	0	0
63,249	63,249	0	0	
63,249	63,249	0	0	0
63,249	63,249	0	0	

28,384
9,979
222.84%
633.83%

C0060
93,249
0
30,000
38,000
0
25,249

Solvency Capital Requirement

Net of loss absorbing capacity of technical provisions

		C0010
Market risk		
R0070	Interest rate risk	3,705
R0080	Equity risk	1,461
R0090	Property risk	0
R0100	Spread risk	5,669
R0110	Concentration risk	6,964
R0120	Currency risk	0
R0125	Other market risk	
R0130	Diversification within market risk	-6,165
R0140	Total Market risk	11,633
Counterparty default risk		
R0150	Type 1 exposures	1,815
R0160	Type 2 exposures	4,613
R0165	Other counterparty risk	
R0170	Diversification within counterparty default risk	-334
R0180	Total Counterparty default risk	6,094
Life underwriting risk		
R0190	Mortality risk	0
R0200	Longevity risk	1,712
R0210	Disability-Morbidity risk	0
R0220	Life-expense risk	0
R0230	Revision risk	0
R0240	Lapse risk	0
R0250	Life catastrophe risk	0
R0255	Other life underwriting risk	
R0260	Diversification within life underwriting risk	0
R0270	Total Life underwriting risk	1,712
Health underwriting risk		
R0280	Health SLT risk	
R0290	Health non SLT risk	
R0300	Health catastrophe risk	
R0305	Other health underwriting risk	
R0310	Diversification within health underwriting risk	
R0320	Total Health underwriting risk	0
Non-life underwriting risk		
R0330	Non-life premium and reserve risk (ex catastrophe risk)	14,466
R0340	Non-life catastrophe risk	0
R0350	Lapse risk	0
R0355	Other non-life underwriting risk	
R0360	Diversification within non-life underwriting risk	0
R0370	Non-life underwriting risk	14,466
R0400	Intangible asset risk	
Operational and other risks		
R0422	Operational risk	3,735
R0424	Other risks	
R0430	Total Operational and other risks	3,735
R0432	Total before all diversification	44,139
R0434	Total before diversification between risk modules	37,640
R0436	Diversification between risk modules	-9,256
R0438	Total after diversification	28,384
R0440	Loss absorbing capacity of technical provisions	
R0450	Loss absorbing capacity of deferred tax	
R0455	Other adjustments	
R0460	Solvency capital requirement including undisclosed capital add-on	28,384
R0472	Disclosed capital add-on - excluding residual model limitation	
R0474	Disclosed capital add-on - residual model limitation	
R0480	Solvency capital requirement including capital add-on	28,384
R0490	Biting interest rate scenario	
R0495	Biting life lapse scenario	

IR.28.01.01

Minimum Capital Requirement - Only life or only non-life insurance or reinsurance activity

Linear formula component for non-life insurance and reinsurance obligations

R0010 MCR_{NL} Result

C0010

9,979

Net (of reinsurance/SPV) best estimate and TP calculated as a whole	Net (of reinsurance) written premiums in the last 12 months
---	---

C0020

C0030

C0020	C0030
0	
0	
0	
90,922	
0	
0	
10,595	
12,181	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	

- R0020 Medical expense insurance and proportional reinsurance
- R0030 Income protection insurance and proportional reinsurance
- R0040 Workers' compensation insurance and proportional reinsurance
- R0050 Motor vehicle liability insurance and proportional reinsurance
- R0060 Other motor insurance and proportional reinsurance
- R0070 Marine, aviation and transport insurance and proportional reinsurance
- R0080 Fire and other damage to property insurance and proportional reinsurance
- R0090 General liability insurance and proportional reinsurance
- R0100 Credit and suretyship insurance and proportional reinsurance
- R0110 Legal expenses insurance and proportional reinsurance
- R0120 Assistance and proportional reinsurance
- R0130 Miscellaneous financial loss insurance and proportional reinsurance
- R0140 Non-proportional health reinsurance
- R0150 Non-proportional casualty reinsurance
- R0160 Non-proportional marine, aviation and transport reinsurance
- R0170 Non-proportional property reinsurance

Linear formula component for life insurance and reinsurance obligations

R0200 MCR_L Result

C0040

0

Net (of reinsurance/SPV) best estimate and TP calculated as a whole	Net (of reinsurance/SPV) total capital at risk
---	--

C0050

C0060

C0050	C0060

- R0210 Obligations with profit participation - guaranteed benefits
- R0220 Obligations with profit participation - future discretionary benefits
- R0230 Index-linked and unit-linked insurance obligations
- R0240 Other life (re)insurance and health (re)insurance obligations
- R0250 Total capital at risk for all life (re)insurance obligations

Overall MCR calculation

- R0300 Linear MCR
- R0310 SCR
- R0320 MCR cap
- R0330 MCR floor
- R0340 Combined MCR
- R0350 Absolute floor of the MCR
- R0400 **Minimum Capital Requirement**

C0070

9,979
28,384
12,773
7,096
9,979
3,500
9,979